





## SPUTTERING ONTO LIQUIDS FOR NANOPARTICLE SYNTHESIS

<u>Stephanos KONSTANTINIDIS <sup>1</sup></u>, Amy O'REILLY <sup>1</sup>, Kamakshi PATEL <sup>1</sup>, Adrien CHAUVIN <sup>1</sup>, Julien DE WINTER <sup>1</sup>, David CORNIL <sup>1</sup>, Jérôme CORNIL <sup>1</sup>, Adriano PANEPINTO <sup>2</sup>, Jozef VESELÝ <sup>3</sup>, Halima ALEM-MARCHAND <sup>4</sup>, Anastasiya SERGIEVSKAYA <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Mons - Mons (BELGIUM)

<sup>2</sup> Materia Nova - Mons (BELGIUM)

<sup>3</sup> Charles University - Prague (CZECH REPUBLIC)

<sup>4</sup> Institut Jean Lamour - Nancy (FRANCE)

stephanos.konstantinidis@umons.ac.be



### Advantages of sputtering onto liquids

#### 1. Flexibility

Large variety of elements can be sputtered

#### 2. Safety

NP « stored » in the host liquid

#### 3. Purity

Chemical reactants and by-products are avoided





#### **Experimental set-up**



#### **Castor oil as a host liquid**



Influence of the working parameters on the NP properties

Varying parameters are:

- 1. Sputter power
- 2. Deposition time
- 3. Kind of sputtering discharge (DCMS vs. HiPIMS)
- 4. Viscosity of the host liquid
- 5. Nature of the sputtered metal (Au, Ag, Cu)

TEM and UV-Vis spec. provide info about

- 1. The size of the NP
- 2. The ageing/stability of the NP solutions



#### **DC-MS of gold onto castor oil, a first look**

 $P_{Ar}$  = 0.5 mTorr, T-S dist.: 20 cm, 5 min, 80 W → Flux of metal atoms :  $\Phi$  = (2.5 ± 0.5)·10<sup>-7</sup> mol·cm<sup>-2</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>



- NP continue to grow for a few days after sputtering
- NP solutions are stable for a very long time

## Effect of sputter time







#### 15 min after sputtering 4 weeks after sputtering 6 6 6 ----2 min 2 min A (505 nm)/ l (cm) 5 5 —3 min 3 min Absorbance (a.u.) —5 min 5 min 4 4 \_\_\_\_7 min 7 min –10 min -10 min 3 Ă 3 2 2 15 min 1 1 1 4 weeks 0 0 0 **Ageing of** 450 550 650 750 10 15 450 550 650 750 5 350 350 0 Sputter Time (min) Wavelength (nm) Wavelength (nm) the NP TEM analysis after 6 months 7 min 10 min 5 min d = 3.2 ± 0.6 nm $d = 2.7 \pm 0.6$ nm solutions $d = 2.8 \pm 0.7$ nm 40 40 Frequency (%) 40 Frequency (%) 30 30 -requency 30

20 nm

20

10

0

2 2.5 3 3.5 4 4.5 5 5.5

Diameter (nm)

20

10

0

1.5 2 2.5 3 3.5 4 4.5 5 5.5

Diameter (nm)

20 nm

1.5 2 2.5 3 3.5 4 4.5 5

Diameter (m)

20

0

nm

#### **Effect of sputter power**

Sputter time: 10 min



20 W 40W 60W 80W





## Ageing of the NP solutions



#### DC-MS vs. (unipolar) HiPIMS

P<sub>Ar</sub> = 5 mTorr, 80 W, 10 min

DC-MS:  $\Phi = (1.8 \pm 0.2) \cdot 10^{-7} \text{ moles/cm}^2 \cdot \text{minute}$ 

HiPIMS:  $T_{on} = 20 \ \mu s$ ,  $I_{pk} = 0.3 \ A/cm^2$ ,  $f = 800 \ Hz$ ,  $\Phi = (0.9 \pm 0.1) \cdot 10^{-7} \ moles/cm^2 \ min$ 





## Ageing of the NP solutions

13

### **Effect of host liquid viscosity**

0.5 mTorr, 20 cm, 80 W, 10 min, Liquid : **polymerized\* rapeseed oil** \* Plasma treatment prior sputtering



Viscosities (cP)

- Castor oil = 700 cP (35.1 mJ m<sup>-2</sup>)
- Water = 0.9 cP
- Honey ~ 2000 10 000 cP

Film is obtained like on a solid surface

#### Effect of host liquid viscosity



No TEM data for high viscosity liquids Impossible to remove the liquid from the TEM grid

1

15

### **Ageing of the solutions**

0.5 mTorr, 20 cm, 80 W, 10 min, substrate : polymerized rapeseed oil



At higher viscosity

- Better definition of the SPR band  $\rightarrow$  Bigger NPs ?
- But lower concentration of NP ٠

# What if we sputter silver onto castor oil ?



#### **Metal surface – liquid interaction energy**

$$E_{\text{int}} = E_{\text{surf/CO}} - [E_{\text{CO}} + E_{\text{surf}}]$$





#### **DC-MS of silver target onto castor oil**

0.5 mTorr, 20 cm, 80 W, 3 min  $\Phi = (0.6 \pm 0.1) \cdot 10^{-7} \text{ moles/cm}^2 \cdot \text{min}$ 





- SK9 size distribution, cristalinity ? Stéphanos KONSTANTINIDIS; 03-05-21
- Ui16 There is no size distributions for these particlular images due to low quality. I used them to tell that particules aggregate in the castor oil and this is the reason of decrease in absorbance.
  We have a lot of TEM images of silver NPs, including HiPIMS and bipolar HiPIMS with size distribution and data about crystallinity. I will send it to you.
  Utilisateur invité; 07-05-21
- **SK31** If you have the NP size distribution, it woul dbe great. THanks ! Stéphanos KONSTANTINIDIS; 20-05-21

## Ageing of the Ag-NP solutions

6 hours







8.1 nm ± 5.0 nm. TEM image 8 months after preparation.







#### **DC-MS** vs. Unipolar & Bipolar HiPIMS

 $P_{Ar} = 5 \text{ mTorr}, 80 \text{ W}, 10 \text{ min}$ Flux DC-MS:  $(1.8 \pm 0.2) \cdot 10^{-7} \text{ moles/cm}^2 \text{ min}$ 

Flux HiPIMS:  $(0.9 \pm 0.1) \cdot 10^{-7}$  moles/cm<sup>2</sup> min f = 800 Hz, T<sub>ON, -</sub> = 20 µs, I<sub>pk</sub> = 0.3 A/cm<sup>2</sup>

Flux B-HiPIMS:  $(0.2 \pm 0.1) \cdot 10^{-7} \text{ moles/cm}^2 \text{ min}$ f = 800 Hz, T<sub>ON, -</sub> = 20 µs, I<sub>pk</sub> = 0.3 A/cm<sup>2</sup> V<sub>+</sub> = +**300V**, T<sub>ON, +</sub> = 250 µs, T<sub>+/-</sub> = 10µs

Number of particles larger than 20 nm

- 0.1% for DC-MS,
- 1.3 % for HiPIMS (B-HiPIMS\_0)
- 4.2 % for bipolar HiPIMS (BHiPIMS\_300)



# What if we sputter Copper onto castor oil ?



#### **Oxidation of Cu-NPs in castor oil**



- HiPIMS promotes the formation of bigger NPs
  → Substitute to post-synthesis heating of the liquid <sup>[1]</sup>
- Other parameters don't play a significant role
- Au-NP size typically varies from ~2 (DC-MS) to 5 nm (HiPIMS)
- Same observation was made for Ag-NP <sup>[2]</sup>
- Increased heating rate of the liquid and/or high kin. energy of metallic species might be the reason
- 2. Viscosity changes the way the NP grow
- Too high viscosity promotes the formation of a film, which dissolves partially afterward.
- 3. The nature of the sputtered material/host liquid influences the stability of the NP solutions (see also <sup>[3]</sup>)
- 4. After deposition,
- For Gold, secondary growth. Solution is very stable.
- For Silver, aggregation because of the lower stability
- Oxidation happens for Copper.

[1] B. Ingham et al, Chem. Mater. 23, 3312 (2011).

[2] A. Sergievskaya et al, Coll. Surf. A Physicochem. Eng. Asp. 615, 126286 (2021).

[3] X. Carette et al, J. Phys. Chem. C 122, 26605 (2018).