UNCNS Université de Mons





Subsystem coupling using co-simulation methods:

Coupling of vehicle/track-soil subsystems using an X-T approach

Bryan OLIVIER, Olivier VERLINDEN, Georges KOUROUSSIS

Abstract

The vibrations generated by the passing of a train sometimes reach important levels such that they can generate discomfort in surrounding buildings. In order to understand this phenomenon, a numerical modeling can be implemented. However, this modeling involves two mechanical parts that differ by their behavior and their mathematical representation: the vehicle and the soil. Both subsystems are linked by the track. To re-couple those subsystems during the time integration, an X-T co-simulation technique will be used such that each subsystem can be integrated using appropriate solvers that are implemented in two different software packages. Finally, the co-simulated model will be compared to an already validated two-step model.

Investigated co-simulation methods 2

The co-simulation approaches investigated (see Figure 4) differ by the way both subsystems are integrated and also how the data are exchanged between them:

Introduction



Figure 1: Two-step model

Originally, Kouroussis et al. 1 proved that a decoupled integration is sufficient to obtain a sufficiently accurate representation of the level of vibration in the surroundings of a track on which a train is passing. The two-step method (see Figure 1) was:

• vehicle/track integration with a coupled lumped mass (CLM) representation of the soil

• **soil integration** using the forces computed in the first step

• Gauss-Seidel (GS): purely sequential integration

• Jacobi (J): parallel integration



Figure 4: Gauss-Seidel scheme (red) - Jacobi scheme (green)

Results

The results obtained using the co-simulated model are compared with the two-step decoupled model in Figures 5 and 6. E represents the soil elasticity while the exponent of GS and J denotes $log_{10}(H)$ with H the macrotimestep (data exchange timestep).

 $_{ imes 10^{-2}} E = 155 \text{ MPa}$

However, the decoupling shows its limitation if the soil becomes too soft. Therefore, cosimulation will be used between the previously decoupled problem by re-coupling them during the integration process.

Subsystem choice and coupling method





Figure 6: Comparison of Gauss-Seidel (left) and two-step (right) models in terms of soil displacement magnitude

Semi-Infinite elements

Figure 2: Subsystems representation

- The entire model described in Figure 2 is composed of two interacting subsystems:
- Subsystem 1: [EasyDyn] vehicle (wheel set) and the track (rail/railpads/sleepers)
- Subsystem 2: [ABAQUS] 3D soil (meshed soil kernel/semi-infinite shell)

The coupling **X-T** method that consists of an exchange of a displacement/speed and force is illustrated in Figure 3. This coupling is performed through the **ballast** which is modeled as a flexible element (spring-damper system).

Conclusions 4

- **Convergence** to the same solution is obtained due to the zero-stable characteristics of the numerical schemes [2]).
- Gauss-Seidel provides more accurate and more stable results than Jacobi for a same macrotimestep.
- The stiffer the soil, **the closer the results** are between the co-simulated and the twostep models.

References

- [1] G. Kouroussis and O. Verlinden. Prediction of railway induced ground vibration through multibody and finite element modelling. Mechanical Sciences, 4(1):167–183, 2013.
- [2] B. Olivier, O. Verlinden, and G. Kouroussis. Stability and error analysis of applied-force co-simulation methods using mixed one-step integration schemes. In IUTAM Symposium on co-simulation and solver coupling, Darmstadt (Germany), 2017.

FACULTÉ POLYTECHNIQUE **BRYAN OLIVIER**

SERVICE DE MÉCANIQUE RATIONNELLE, DYNAMIQUE ET VIBRATIONS Bryan.Olivier@umons.ac.be



Figure 3: X-T Flexible coupling method

Université de Mons