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ABSTRACT: Although N-(S)-phenylethyl peptoids are known to adopt helical structures in solutions, the corresponding positively charged ions lose their helical structure during the transfer from the solution to the gas phase due to the so-called charge solvation effect. We, here, considered negatively charged peptoids to investigate by ion mobility spectrometry−mass spectrometry whether the structural changes described in the positive ionization mode can be circumvented in the negative mode by a fine-tuning of the peptoid sequence, that is, by positioning the negative charge at the positive side of the helical peptoid macrodipole. N-(S)-(1-carboxy-2-phenylethyl) (Nscp) and N-(S)-phenylethyl (Nspe) were selected as the negative charge carrier and as the helix inductor, respectively. We, here, report the results of a joint theoretical and experimental study demonstrating that the structures adopted by the Nspe,Nscp anions remain compactly folded in the gas phase for chains containing up to 10 residues, whereas no evidence of the presence of a helical structure was obtained, even if, for selected sequences and lengths, different gas phase conformations are detected.

INTRODUCTION

Since the introduction of electrospray ionization (ESI), numerous research groups endeavored to investigate the gas phase conformations of biological molecules, such as proteins and nucleic acids, using mass spectrometry (MS) methods.1−4 These studies benefit from the fact that the solution phase structures are preserved when using native MS methods and mostly concentrate on large-molecular-weight compounds, such as large proteins or protein assemblies.3,5,6 On the other hand, other research groups focus their interest on the determination of the intrinsic molecular interactions responsible for the conformations adopted by ionized molecules in the gas phase, often using small model systems such as small peptides.7−10 Ion mobility spectrometry (IMS) coupled to mass spectrometry (IMS−MS) is nowadays increasingly used to afford structural data on gas phase ions, with a special interest paid to proteins, peptides, nucleic acids, and synthetic polymers.11−15 Interestingly, secondary structures in vacuo16−18 can be established by confronting MS information to computational chemistry data.9,19,20 It is now largely demonstrated that the structure of (macro)molecules may be strongly modified upon ionization and gas phase transfer; in particular, flexible molecules typically fold around the charge site upon solvent molecule evaporation.8,21−24 This effect, known as the “charge solvation effect”, questions the relevance of MS for the structural analysis of macromolecules, especially to deduce condensed phase structures based on gas phase investigations.8,21−24

Poly-N-substituted glycines or peptoids represent an emergent class of synthetic peptidomimetic polymers (Scheme 1).25 The main difference with peptides is the side chain position on the backbone, appended to the amide nitrogen atom rather than to the α-carbon.25 Various efficient synthetic protocols developed over the years and the wide diversity of commercially available amines
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allow preparing peptoids with specific designs adapted to diverse applications (therapeutic, catalysis, etc.). This together with their wide structural diversity and their high resistance to protease and high changes clearly represent strong assets over peptides for targeted—including in vivo—applications. Depending on their sequence, peptoids may adopt different stabilized secondary structures, that is, helix, threaded-loop, or ribbon in solutions and in the solid state. Helical conformations are the most studied and exploited foldamer structures in the biomedical field and in material science. Peptoid helices in solutions are stabilized when the side chain is bulky and chiral, as promoted by the (S)-N-(1-phenylethyl) (Nspe) side chain (Scheme 1). Structural studies on such helices are generally performed using circular dichroism spectroscopy, revealing a signature analogous to the peptide α-helix, and by nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. Recently, using the combination of IMS–MS and molecular dynamics (MD) simulations, we demonstrated that helical peptoids based on Nspe units formed in solutions do not retain their secondary structure when transferred in the gas phase and rather adopt loop-like structures caused by the charge solvation effect. Actually, the secondary interactions stabilizing helices in solutions, that is, steric hindrance and electrostatic effects, are not sufficient to compensate the charge solvation effect. MD simulations revealed that strong charge/dipole interactions between the C=O groups and the proton (ammonium group) induce the folding of the peptoid ions around the charge and the loss of the extended helical structure. In a second study, we introduced additional non-covalent interactions through H-bond donor groups (carboxylic acid moieties) carried by the pending side chains. Using the IMS–MS/MD approach, we detected helices in the gas phase cemented by the formation of an intra-residue H-bond network associating the hydrogen atom from the side chain carboxylic acid to the oxygen atom of the amide inside the same residue. We further demonstrated that the obtention of stable peptoid helices in the gas phase is conditioned by different factors: (i) the possibility to create a H-bond network; (ii) the presence of a sufficient amount of residues to energetically counterbalance the charge induced folding; and (iii) the presence of bulky side chains to rigidify the backbone (generate a high degree of steric hindrance).

In the present study, we want to assess whether helical peptoid ions can be stabilized in the gas phase using the “macrodipole approach”, successfully employed for the detection of helical peptides in the gas phase. Although helical in solutions, protonated poly(alanine) ions with up to 20 residues do not retain their shape in a vacuum-like environment since the charge destabilizes the helical conformation. However, the addition of a single lysine residue at the C terminus of acetylated poly(alanine)s results in the formation of very stable lysine-protonated poly(alanine) helices, whose positive charge created by the addition of the proton is locally stabilized by the surrounding amides as well as by the negative end of the poly(alanine) helix macrodipole. Such a favorable charge/macrodipole interaction thus appears beneficial to preserve/develop helices in the gas phase. Armand et al. reported that the macrodipole of helical Nspe peptoids is opposite to that of the poly(alanine) helix with the negative end located at the N terminus side. In this case, as described above, protonated Nspe peptoids do not preserve their helical structure in the gas phase.

**Scheme 1. General Primary Structure of Peptoids Represented from N to C Extremities**

![Diagram of Scheme 1](image)

“The side chains R studied are (A) (S)-N-(1-phenylethyl) (Nspe) and (B) (S)-N-(1-carboxy-2-phenylethyl) (Nscp).”

**Scheme 2. Helical Structures of (A) Poly(alanine)s and (C) Acetylated Poly(alanine)s with a C Terminal Lysine Are Characterized by a Macrodipole**

![Diagram of Scheme 2](image)

“Upon transfer in the gas phase, protonated Ala₃₋₅ (B) does not retain the helical structure, while protonated Ac-Ala₋₅-Lys (D) is detected as a stable helix due to the protonation at the Cter side of the peptide, that is, the negative side of the helix macrodipole.” The modeled structures are adapted from ref 7.
Scheme 3. Molecular Structures of (A) Protonated N-(S)-Phenylethyl Peptoid (Nspe\textsubscript{n}H\textsuperscript{+}) and (B) Deprotonated N-(S)-Phenylethyl-N-(S)-(1-carboxy-2-phenylethyl) Peptoid (Nspe\textsubscript{n},Nspe\textsubscript{1})

Figure 1. Peptoid dihedral angles and their nomenclature: conversion between dihedral angle values and letter codes for attributing the peptoid conformations.

We would like to establish here whether anionic peptoids in the gas phase may be detected as extended helices by using the IMS–MS/MD combination. The last residue at the C terminus side of these peptoids carries a carboxylic acid that is deprotonated, providing an interaction with the positive end of the helical peptoid macrodipole. To achieve that goal, we designed hetero-peptoid sequences based on Nspe residues and a single Nscp (S)-N-(1-carboxy-2-phenylethyl) residue. The Nscp carries the carboxylic acid moiety that is easily deprotonated under negative ionization mode in MS analyses (Scheme 3). In a first approach, the Nscp residue will be located at the C terminus and the influence of the peptoid length monitored, while in a second approach, we will vary the position of the Nscp in the backbone.

 MATERIALS AND METHODS

Nomenclature. The conformational landscape of peptoids is mostly described by their backbone dihedral angles, that is, \( \omega, \phi, \) and \( \psi \), as shown in Figure 1.\textsuperscript{16} Secondary structures are defined by particular combinations of these three dihedral angles. The typical example is a perfect right-handed helix, characterized by a periodic repetition of the pattern \((\omega \sim 0^\circ, \phi \sim 80^\circ, \psi \sim 180^\circ)\).\textsuperscript{15} Spencer et al. showed that the individual backbone dihedral angles of most peptoids systematically have the same range of values.\textsuperscript{20} Therefore, to simplify the attribution of the secondary structures, they developed a nomenclature that associates a letter to a particular range of values for a given dihedral angle (Figure 1). For example, they described \( \psi \) by the capital letter \( Z \) (about \( 180^\circ \)), \( \phi \) by \( R \) (left-handed) or \( S \) (right-handed), and \( \omega \) by \( c \) (cis) or \( t \) (trans). Using this nomenclature, a residue included within a right-handed helix is now described as “\( Z_s \)”, where the first letter is related to the \( \psi \) dihedral angle, while the second and third are related to the \( \phi \) and \( \omega \) dihedral angles, respectively.\textsuperscript{23} However, this nomenclature is very limiting and does not allow the description of dihedral angles whose values are outside the defined range, in particular when \( \psi \sim 0^\circ \). Therefore, we introduce here an additional letter to characterize this dihedral angle, namely \( \Theta \), when \( \psi \) is close to \( 0^\circ \).

In the present study, we observed that the vast majority of the peptoid residues are either in a “\( Z_R \)” or a “\( Z_S \)” conformation. Therefore, we decided to further simplify the notation by attributing a single letter to the three-letter code, which is especially useful for the readability of long peptoid sequences. We denominated the “\( Z_R \)” as “\( G \)” and “\( Z_S \)” as “\( D \)”.

In the present study, we observed that the vast majority of the peptoid residues are either in a “\( Z_R \)” or a “\( Z_S \)” conformation. Therefore, we decided to further simplify the notation by attributing a single letter to the three-letter code, which is especially useful for the readability of long peptoid sequences. We denominated the “\( Z_R \)” as “\( G \)” and “\( Z_S \)” as “\( D \).” If a residue is not in such a conformation, the complete letter code of this residue will be written instead.

Computational Chemistry. Calculations were performed at the density functional theory (DFT) level of theory using the CAM-B3LYP functional and a 6-31G* double zeta basis set, as implemented in the Gaussian 16 (A03 revision) software.\textsuperscript{47} Empirical Grimme’s term D3 correction was used to improve the description of the dispersion interactions, and the functional is abbreviated as CAM-B3LYP-D3 throughout the article.\textsuperscript{48} Each molecular structure was fully optimized, and minima were confirmed through vibrational analysis. We generated each starting geometry based on dihedral angle values commonly reported in the literature.\textsuperscript{24} Since the number of possible conformers rapidly grows with size, we only considered all conformers for peptoid chain lengths ranging from 1 to 4 (see the text for more details). For longer chains, we created input geometries based on the most stable conformers obtained for the shorter chains. Each optimized geometry was then subjected to collision cross section (CCS, \( \Omega_{CCS} \)) calculations using the Collidoscope software using the “trajectory method” (TM) with helium as the collision gas.\textsuperscript{49}

Peptoid Synthesis. All reactants and solvents were commercially obtained (VWR Chemicals) and are used without any supplementary purification. The synthesis of Nspe\textsubscript{n},Nscp peptoids was performed using the solid-phase reaction protocol reported by Zuckermann and co-workers consisting of successive acylation and nucleophilic substitution steps on the Rink amide resin: all details are described elsewhere.\textsuperscript{25} The amines used during the nucleophilic substitution...
were (S)-phenylethylamine and 1-phenylalanine previously esterified to protect carboxy groups during the peptoid synthesis with the following procedure: at 0 °C, thionyl chloride (11 mL, 0.15 mol) was slowly added to methanol (150 mL). Phenylalanine was added at room temperature and stirred for 48 h. After the solvent evaporation, the esterified phenylalanine was purified by washing with a saturated solution of Na₂CO₃, extracted with dichlormethane and concentrated in vacuo. After the synthesis of the Nspe-Nscp sequences, the carboxyl groups were deprotected by hydrolysis with NaOH 5 M (3 mL) and methanol (4 mL) at 55 °C for 5 h. Nspe-Nscp peptoids were prepared without further purification since they were only analyzed by MS. The characterization was achieved with the determination of the mass-to-charge ratio of the corresponding ions [M + H⁺] and with collision-induced dissociation experiments (Table S1).

**Ion Mobility Experiments.** Ion mobility measurements were performed using a Synapt G2-Si (Waters, UK) mass spectrometer equipped with an ESI source and a traveling wave ion mobility cell operated in N₂ as the drift gas. The IMS parameters and the sample preparation are described in the Supporting Information. In TWIMS experiments, a calibration using deprotonated polyalanines was required to convert drift times measured in nitrogen into CCSs in helium (ΘN₂→ΘHe). The CCSs Ω were abbreviated by using the current notation: ΩN₂,ΘTW,ΩN₂→ΘHe represent experimental CCSs, while ΩN₂,ΘTW,ΩN₂→ΘHe correspond to theoretical CCSs.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Figure 2 presents hypothetical ideal helix structures (viewed along the helix axis from the C terminus at the bottom) for
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Figure 2. Hypothetical ideal helix structures for Nspe,H⁺ and Nspe,Nscp⁻ peptoid ions. The hydrogen atoms highlighted as green beads correspond to the −NH₃⁺ ammonium moiety in the Nspe,H⁺ peptoid ion, whereas the oxygen atoms of the carboxylate group are represented as red beads in the Nspe,Nscp⁻ peptoid ion. The structures are built using the dihedral angles determined by Armand et al. and optimized at the CAM-B3LYP-D3 level of theory.

In a bottom-up approach, we decided to study the gas phase structures of the Nspe,Nscp peptoid anions with a growing chain (n = 2–9), both experimentally using IMS–MS and theoretically using DFT calculations. Using this combined approach, we assessed the impact of the charged site on the gas phase structure of the peptoid anions, namely, the carboxylate group (RCOO⁻) created upon ESI at the C terminus side of the peptoids.

Before studying complete peptoid sequences, we first considered theoretically the shortest possible structure that is constituted by a single Nscp side chain with an acetyl group positioned on the nitrogen amine atom, that is, AcNscp, to mimic the basic motif on which the molecular backbone will grow. As recently pointed out by Spencer et al., peptoids may adopt different backbone dihedral angle combinations. The amide bond can be in cis or trans conformation, while the ψ dihedral angle can be positive or negative (±90°) and the χ dihedral angle around 0° or 180°. Every residue is thus characterized by three dihedral angles that can adopt two values each, leading to 2 × 2 × 2 = 2⁴ possible combinations for a single residue or more precisely for each amide bond. In the present case, AcNscp would then adopt up to eight different conformations. We can generalize for any chain size the total number of possible combinations as 2ⁿ⁻⁴ amide bond.

The four most stable conformations obtained using DFT calculations are presented in Figure 3. One of the key observations when considering these structures is that the ψ dihedral angle systematically shifts to ~0°, inducing Θ conformations (see Figure 1), whereas this dihedral angle is around 180° for most of the peptoid residues (Z conformations). This is due to the presence of the carboxylate moiety that is involved in a strong hydrogen interactions.
bond with an amide hydrogen atom (with a distance of around 1.75 Å between the hydrogen and the acceptor and an angle of 170° in the donor−hydrogen−acceptor triad), as highlighted in Figure 3.

Also, depending on the sign of \( \phi \), the structures present their "growing chain" turning either left \( (\Theta_{St}) \) or right \( (\Theta_{Rt}) \) when the C terminus amide is placed vertically (see the green arrows in Figure 3). A positive \( \phi \) will trigger a rotation to the left, while a negative \( \phi \) will induce a rotation to the right. The same observation is valid for both cis and trans amide conformers.

Among these conformers, AcNscp\(_1\)-\( \Theta_{St} \) is the most stable, by about 2 kcal/mol (Figure 3). The main stabilizing factor is, besides the hydrogen bond common to all conformers, a CH–π interaction between the phenyl ring and the amide methylene (Figure 3). This first residue is therefore most likely locked into the \( \Theta_{St} \) conformation.

When considering a slightly longer peptoid by adding 2 Nspe residues on the N terminus side (Nspe\(_2\)Nscp, Scheme 4), the number of possible conformations rapidly increases. Indeed, there are 2 amide bonds, so that the total number of possible conformations is \( (2^2)^2 = 64 \).

Fortunately, we can narrow down this number. Indeed, since the \( \psi \) dihedral angle is generally around 180°, except for the Nscp residue (which is rather at ~ 0° according to our calculations on AcNscp\(_1\)), \( \psi \) only takes one of the two values, generating a reduced number of conformers: \((2^3)^2 = 16\). Moreover, if we add an Nspe residue at the N terminus on the AcNscp\(_1\), its amide bond can adopt either a cis \( (\omega \sim 0°) \) or a trans conformation.
trans (\(\omega \sim 180^\circ\)) conformation. However, a trans conformation is unlikely because of the non-favorable orientation of the amide dipole toward the carboxylate (Scheme 5). All together, these considerations lead to the conclusion that the \(\varphi\) dihedral angle will dictate the number of conformers available, which is thus reduced to \((2^3)^2 = 4\).

The most stable among the four possible conformers (Figure S1) is characterized by the sequence \(G-\Theta_{St}\) (in other terms, \(Z_{Rc} - \Theta_{St}\)). In this conformation (Figure 4), the last Nscp residue has the same geometry as in the model peptoid AcNscp1, with a hydrogen bond still present between the carboxylate and the amide hydrogen as well as with the CH–π interaction between the methylene group and the phenyl ring, which appears to lock the C terminus in that geometry. Compared to the other conformers (Figure S1), \(G-\Theta_{St}\) is even more stabilized due to the presence of additional CH–π interactions between the side chains but also due to a hydrogen bond between the amine at the N terminus and the carboxylate (Figure 4A). The chirality of the Nscp side chain dictates locally in which sense the chain will “turn” to create more favorable interactions. Indeed, as shown in Figure 4A, if we consider \(G-\Theta_{St}\) and \(G-\Theta_{Rt}\) the CH–π interaction (between the Nscp phenyl and the Nspe phenyl) cannot be formed in the \(G-\Theta_{St}\) geometry, making the \(G-\Theta_{St}\) geometry significantly less stable (10.5 kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) than the \(G-\Theta_{Rt}\) geometry. Interestingly, Nspe\(_{1}\)Nscp and Nspe\(_{2}\)Nscp have the same starting motif at the C terminus extremity as Nspe\(_{1}\)Nscp, that is, \(G-\Theta_{St}\) (Figure 4B). This conformation is actually locked due to the hydrogen bond between the carboxylate and the terminal amide and the favorable interactions between the aromatic side chains. The chirality of the last residue at the C terminus thus appears to dictate the nature of the new secondary interactions between the side chains in these systems.

However, this local influence of the chirality vanishes with increasing chain length. Let us consider Nspe\(_{1}\)Nscp that has \(2^3\) possible conformers (32). Given the computational cost of DFT calculations for such large systems, we simulated only 16 of them based on the structural data obtained with shorter oligomers. Among these, we obtained two very stable conformers presenting different dihedral angle combinations, namely, \(D-G-D-G-\Theta_{St}\) and \(D-G-D-G-\Theta_{Rt}\) (Figure S5).

One striking difference between these two conformations concerns the Nscp residue, whose \(\varphi\) dihedral angle is either positive (\(\Theta_{St}\)) or negative (\(\Theta_{Rt}\)), defining the rotation sense of the growing chain. As seen in Figure 5, both structures “turn” either right or left depending on the conformation of the C terminus Nscp residue. However, the energy gained at the C terminus by the local favorable interactions in the \(\Theta_{St}\) geometry (mostly CH–π) is not sufficient to dominate the energy stabilization provided further along the chain in the \(\Theta_{Rt}\) geometry. Unfortunately, the computed CCSs (\(^{13}N_{\text{He}}\)) are identical, around 235 Å\(^2\), preventing any separation by IMS–MS. When examining the corresponding IMS experimental data presented in Figure 6, we detected a single signal for the deprotonated Nspe\(_{1}\)Nscp peptoid with \(^{13}N_{\text{He}}\) measured at 240 Å\(^2\), nicely matching the theoretical CCS value (\(^{13}N_{\text{He}}\)).

From Nspe\(_{1}\)Nscp, see Figure 6, different conformations start to be separated upon IMS–MS, pointing to the presence of non-interconverting gas phase structures.\(^{32,33}\) As a striking example, two nicely resolved signals are detected for the deprotonated Nspe\(_{2}\)Nscp with \(^{13}N_{\text{He}}\) determined at 280 and 291 Å\(^2\). Even if the observation of less compact/more extended ion structures from Nspe\(_{1}\)Nscp is established, the presence of a (quasi)helical structure remains elusive.

Monitoring the CCS evolution of polymer ions all along the molecular mass distribution, that is, plotting the CCS as a

---

**Figure 5.** Most stable Nspe\(_{1}\)Nscp conformers. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity, except those involved in stabilizing interactions. Hydrogen bonds and CH–π interactions are denoted by black dotted lines and orange dotted lines, respectively. Green arrows indicate the “rotation sense” of each conformer. The relative energies were obtained using DFT calculations at the CAM-B3LYP-D3 level of theory.

**Figure 6.** IMS analysis of deprotonated Nspe\(_{1}\)Nscp (n = 2–9) peptides: CCS distributions (\(^{13}N_{\text{He}}\)) and CCS resolution (R = CCS/ΔCCS\(^{90}\%\)). The deconvolution of the CCS signals for the Nspe\(_{1}\)Nscp with n > 6 reveals the presence of several differentiable structures. CCS resolutions have been determined on the deconvoluted signals.
function of the degree of polymerization (or of the mass), is often proposed as a way to derive the structural (and physicochemical) properties of polymer ions.\textsuperscript{51,52,54}−\textsuperscript{56} This approach is based on the seminal study conducted by Ruotolo et al. that demonstrates that the CCS evolution with regard to the molecular mass of globular (protein) ions can be fitted with the following equation: \( \text{CCS} = A \cdot \text{DP}^B \), where \( A \) and \( B \) are fitting parameters.\textsuperscript{51} For globular ions that are assumed to be spherical objects, the \( B \) parameter is determined to be 2/3, as supported using a geometrical model.\textsuperscript{23,51,57} We recently demonstrated using molecular modeling on peptoid ions that \( B \) critically depends on the mass range of data available for the fitting procedure for non-globular ions.\textsuperscript{23} However, it is generally considered that a \( B \) parameter >2/3 indicates the presence of extended structures, such as helices for which \( B = 1 \) is geometrically expected for infinite helical structures.\textsuperscript{23} In Figure 7, we compare the trend lines obtained for the Nspe\textsubscript{Nscp} ion series with the data previously reported on protonated Nspe.\textsuperscript{23} We immediately noticed that, for a given \( \text{DP} \) (for Nspe\textsubscript{nNscp}, \( \text{DP} = n + 1 \)), the deprotonated Nspe\textsubscript{nNscp} molecules are always more compact, that is, characterized by smaller CCS, than the corresponding [Nspe + H\textsuperscript{+}] ions that were demonstrated to adopt loop-like structures in a precedent study.\textsuperscript{23} Also, when fitting separately the two ion families...
detected for the deprotonated Nspe,Nscp peptoids, we determined two different B parameters, that is, B = 0.59 (orange circles) and B = 0.63 (orange triangles); these values further indicate that even the less compact ion structures cannot be considered as helical or extended structures in the gas phase. In other words, the Nscp unit located at the C terminus cannot help to stabilize any helical structure in the gas phase despite the predicted macrodipole/charge attractive interaction (Figure 1).

Finally, the position of the negatively charged Nscp residue is found to slightly influence the CCS of the corresponding ions, as revealed by the data in Figure 8. Indeed, when displacing the Nscp residue from the C terminus to the N terminus position of the seven-residue peptoids (Nspe,Nscp), we observe that the $\\Omega_{N_{2}N_{1}}$ value is marginally affected. However, a striking difference is the presence of a large signal only for the Nspe,Nscp anions, that is, with the Nscp residue at the C terminus of the peptoid sequence. This is clearly detected by the loss of resolution (R ~ 20 compared to the instrumental resolution of ~ 40), indicating the presence of multiple ion structures (see also Figure 6). It is rather interesting to observe that peptoid oligomers with the negative-charged N-substituent at the N terminus (Nscp,Nspe) exhibit a much narrower ion mobility signal than that with the charged group at the C terminus (Nspe,Nscp), which suggests the presence of a more uniform conformation set (or a single ion conformation) for the former. We suspect that a strong hydrogen bond between the Nter amide function and of a CH$_2$-$\pi$ interaction between the phenyl ring and the amide methylene. For short sequences, such as Nspe$_2$-$\text{Nscp}$, this conformation governs the structuration of the growing Nspe chains, as revealed when analyzing regioisomeric peptoids. Nonetheless, the local influence of the Nscp side chain chirality vanishes with increasing chain length, resulting experimentally in an enlargement or a bimodal distribution of the arrival time distribution for the Nspe$_n$-$\text{Nscp}$ systems.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Over the past 20 years, peptoids have been extensively studied for their ability to adopt helices in solutions. Nonetheless, the secondary structures in solutions are strongly dependent on the temperature and on the nature of the solvent. Mass spectrometry together with IMS is a promising structural analysis method allowing to sample gas phase ions with limited conformational freedom. However, as reported in previous studies related to positively charged peptoids, charge solvation, that is, charge stabilization upon folding, is responsible for the loss of the helical structure of N-(S)-phényl ethyl peptoids during the transfer from a solution to a gas phase. We here considered negatively charged peptoids to investigate whether the structural changes produced by positive charges can be avoided by a fine-tuning of the peptoid sequence, that is, by changing the nature of the charge and positioning the negative charge at the positive side of the helical peptoid macrodipole. N-(S)-(1-carboxy-2-phenethyl) (Nscp) was selected as the negative charge carrier and N-(S)-phenethyl (Nspe) as the helical structure inducer. The joint theoretical and experimental study demonstrates that the structures adopted by the Nspe,Nscp anions remain compactly folded in the gas phase for chains containing up to 10 residues and no evidence of the presence of a helical structure is obtained. However, the presence of differentiable stable structures was detected when the chain length increases though only when the negatively charged Nscp residue is positioned at the C terminus. Our DFT calculations reveal that the negatively charged Nscp residue at the C terminus predominantly adopts a $\Theta_{N_{1}}$ conformation stabilized by the presence of an H bond between the carboxylic group and the C terminus amide function and of a CH-$\pi$ interaction between the phenyl ring and the amide methylene. For short sequences, such as Nspe$_2$-$\text{Nscp}$, this conformation governs the structuration of the growing Nspe chains, as revealed when analyzing regioisomeric peptoids. Nonetheless, the local influence of the Nscp side chain chirality vanishes with increasing chain length, resulting experimentally in an enlargement or a bimodal distribution of the arrival time distribution for the Nspe$_n$-$\text{Nscp}$ systems.
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