Interplay between mitochondrial reactive oxygen species, oxidative stress and hypoxic adaptation in facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy: Metabolic stress as potential therapeutic target
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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

Facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy (FSDH) is characterised by descending skeletal muscle weakness and wasting. FSDH is caused by mis-expression of the transcription factor DUX4, which is linked to oxidative stress, a condition especially detrimental to skeletal muscle with its high metabolic activity and energy demands. Oxidative damage characterises FSDH and recent work suggests metabolic dysfunction and perturbed hypoxia signalling as novel pathomechanisms. However, redox biology of FSDH remains poorly understood, and integrating the complex dynamics of DUX4-induced metabolic changes is lacking.

Here we pinpoint the kinetic involvement of altered mitochondrial ROS metabolism and impaired mitochondrial function in aetiology of oxidative stress in FSDH. Transcriptomic analysis in FSDH muscle biopsies reveals strong enrichment for pathways involved in mitochondrial complex I assembly, nitrogen metabolism, oxidative stress response and hypoxia signalling. We found elevated mitochondrial ROS (mitoROS) levels correlate with increases in steady-state mitochondrial membrane potential in FSDH myogenic cells. DUX4 triggers mitochondrial membrane polarisation prior to oxidative stress generation and apoptosis through mitoROS, and affects mitochondrial health through lipid peroxidation. We identify complex I as the primary target for DUX4-induced mitochondrial dysfunction, with strong correlation between complex I-linked respiration and cellular oxygenation/hypoxia signalling activity in environmental hypoxia. Thus, FSDH myogenesis is uniquely susceptible to hypoxia-induced oxidative stress as a consequence of metabolic misadaptation. Importantly, mitochondria-targeted antioxidants rescue FSDH pathology more effectively than conventional antioxidants, highlighting the central involvement of disturbed mitochondrial ROS metabolism. This work provides a pathomechanistic model by which DUX4-induced changes in oxidative metabolism impair muscle function in FSDH, amplified when metabolic adaptation to varying O\textsubscript{2} tension is required.
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1. Introduction

Facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy (FSHD) is an incurable, hereditary disease primarily affecting skeletal muscle. FSHD is the third most common inherited muscular dystrophy, with an estimated prevalence of 4–12 in 100,000 [1,2]. FSHD presents as a descending, often left/right asymmetric, skeletal muscle weakness and atrophy, starting in facial muscles such as orbicularis oculi and orbicularis oris, and progressing to muscles of the shoulder girdle/proximal arm, before affecting specific lower limb muscles [3,4]. Fortunately, life-span is not attenuated, but FSHD severely affects quality of life, as approximately 30% of patients become wheelchair-bound while a further 10% require leg braces [3,5]. The FSHD clinical phenotype also extends to extra-muscular features including high-frequency hearing loss that is often sensorineural and may progress to deafness [6], and/or retinal telangiectasia (Coat’s disease), a condition where abnormal vascular growth in the macula causes progressively compromised vision [7]. FSHD is a highly heterogeneous pathology, with presentations varying dramatically between first-degree relatives and even monozygotic twins [8,9]. Furthermore, males and females exhibit differential penetrance, with males usually presenting in the second decade of life while females are typically affected by the third [10].

The genetic cause underlying FSHD pathogenesis is epigenetic derepression of the subtelomeric D4Z4 macrosatellite repeats on chromosome 4q35 [4,11]. Each D4Z4 unit contains an open reading frame for the Double Homeobox 4 (DUX4) retrogene, encoding the homeobox transcription factor DUX4 (OMIM 606009) [12,13]. Approximately 95% of FSHD cases are classified as FSHD1 (OMIM 158900) and associated with contraction from the usual 11–100 repeats to <10 and epigenetic derepression of the D4Z4 repeat region in the subtelomere of “permissive” chromosome 4qA allelic variants [14–16]. Along with reduced repressive histone modifications, CpG/DNA hypomethylation results in transcription of DUX4-full length from the otherwise somatically derepressed distal-most D4Z4 unit [17]. A polymorphism in FSHD-permissive 4qA haplotypes (4qA161 and rarer 4qA159 and 4qA168) provides a polyadenylation signal (PAS) for DUX4 transcripts, allowing their stabilisation and translation [18]. Residual number of D4Z4 units inversely correlates with disease severity but at least one unit is needed for FSHD pathology [19]. FSHD2 (OMIM 158901) accounts for the remaining 5% of cases, which are mostly characterised by epigenetic derepression at D4Z4 through mutations in Structural maintenance of chromosomes flexible hinge domain containing 1 (SMCHD1) [20], and much more rarely with mutations in DNA methyltransferase 3B (DNMT3B) [21] or the SMCHD1 protein interactor ligand-dependent nuclear receptor-interacting factor 1 (LRIF1) [22]. SMCHD1 encodes a chromatin modifier that controls and maintains CpG methylation patterns for inheritable epigenetic silencing, for example during X-chromosome inactivation [23]. FSHD2 patients, however, also have D4Z4 repeat numbers towards the shorter end of the normal range (<20 repeats) on permissive 4qA haplotypes, so that hypomethylation again permits DUX4 expression from the distal-most D4Z4 unit [20,21].

These two genetically distinct FSHD1 and FSHD2 subtypes are currently diagnosed by clinical and genetic means [24]. However, molecular analysis of the D4Z4 locus in patient cohorts reveals that association between the number of D4Z4 repeats and clinical severity is not straightforward. Interestingly, an estimated 3% of the general population carrying D4Z4 alleles in the FSHD size range (4–8 repeat units) does not develop the disease [25]. The D4Z4 repeats show low penetrance and present incomplete or atypical clinical phenotypes, including facial muscle sparing and/or normal motor function, adds complexity to establishing clinically and therapeutically relevant genotype-phenotype correlations [26,27].

While the genetics of FSHD have been studied in detail, much less is known about disease pathomechanisms. One of the most drastic consequences of aberrant DUX4 expression is apoptosis, possibly through the p53-p21 axis [17,28,29] but molecular pathways are elusive. Further, DUX4 interferes with myogenic differentiation by inducing a more stem-cell-like transcriptional program [30], so likely impinging on both developmental and regenerative myogenesis. DUX4 leads to rapid downregulation of the transcription factors MyoD and Myf5, two key muscle regulatory factors (MRFs) [31,32]. MyoD is a Paired Box 7 (PAX7) target gene, and sequence similarity between the single PAX7 and two DUX4 homeodomains suggests that DUX4 interferes with the transcriptional circuitry controlled by PAX7 [32]. Indeed, a PAX7 target gene score is globally repressed in FSHD biopsies [33], with PAX7 target gene score repression a potent biomarker for FSHD [34].

Oxidative stress is a well-known pathomechanism of muscle diseases, with redox imbalances in several disorders such as Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) [35,36], myotonic dystrophy type 1 (DM1) [37] and limb-girdle muscular dystrophy (LGMD) [38,39]. A pioneering study by Winokur et al. [40] revealed that FSHD myoblasts show a transcriptional dysregulation of the oxidative stress response, specifically with robust downregulation of antioxidant enzymes involved in the glutathione-based redox system. FSHD myoblasts have higher susceptibility to exogenously induced oxidative stress than controls, a susceptibility not seen in cellular models of other muscular dystrophies. Since this seminal observation of a unique oxidative stress-related pathomechanism in FSHD, oxidative stress/damage and mitochondrial dysfunction have been established as hallmarks of the disease. Several other in vitro studies have found perturbations of oxidative stress response and cellular bioenergetic pathways on the mRNA and protein level [41–46]. Although FSHD myoblasts are generally capable of repairing moderate oxidative damage, they fail to do so when oxidative stress becomes high and/or chronic [47]. It is, however, unclear whether reduced capacity of cellular antioxidant systems and/or chronically increased levels of reactive oxygen (RONS, or ROS) and nitrogen (RNS) species trigger oxidative damage in FSHD. FSHD myoblasts show elevated ROS levels and oxidative DNA damage, which can be reduced by antioxidant treatment [48]. Likewise, footprints of oxidative stress/damage have been identified in FSHD patients, both intramuscular (lipid peroxidation, lipofuscin accumulation, altered protein carbonylation) and systemic (reduced antioxidant levels in blood) [46]. DUX4 confers susceptibility to oxidative stress-induced cell death, and DUX4 increases ROS levels which are rescued by DUX4 knockdown or administration of antioxidants [32].

Skeletal muscle has high metabolic activity, so myofibres have to constantly adapt and respond to intrinsic and microenvironmental changes in their redox and bioenergetic regulatory pathways to meet energy demand. Since dynamic interplay between RONS, mitochondria and O2/hypoxia signalling is core to muscle metabolic adaptation, chronic insult to the fine balance between pro- and antioxidant redox-mechanisms will lead to metabolic stress [49,50].

Mitochondrial dysfunction in FSHD pathogenesis is under studied. A respirometric study on patient muscle biopsies by Turki et al. [46] identified reduced cytochrome C oxidase (COX) activity and adenosine triphosphate (ATP) production in FSHD muscles, along with a decreased ratio between reduced and oxidized glutathione (GSH/GSSG). Both systemic oxidative stress and mitochondrial dysfunction correlated with muscle functional impairment, emphasising the central role of metabolic stress in FSHD. Further, different levels of proteins involved in mitochondrial oxidative metabolism have been found between healthy, DMD and FSHD muscle, most notably complex I subunits such as NADH dehydrogenase flavoprotein (NDUFV) and NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase subunit 5 (NDUFS5). The remaining 5% of FSHD cases are classified as FSHD1 (OMIM 158900) and associated with mutations in nuclear receptor-interacting factor 1 (LRIF1) [22]. SMCHD1 encodes a chromatin modifier that controls and maintains CpG methylation patterns for inheritable epigenetic silencing, for example during X-chromosome inactivation [23]. FSHD2 patients, however, also have D4Z4 repeat numbers towards the shorter end of the normal range (<20 repeats) on permissive 4qA haplotypes, so that hypomethylation again permits DUX4 expression from the distal-most D4Z4 unit [20,21].

These two genetically distinct FSHD1 and FSHD2 subtypes are currently diagnosed by clinical and genetic means [24]. However, molecular analysis of the D4Z4 locus in patient cohorts reveals that association between the number of D4Z4 repeats and clinical severity is not straightforward. Interestingly, an estimated 3% of the general population carrying D4Z4 alleles in the FSHD size range (4–8 repeat units) does not develop the disease [25]. The D4Z4 repeats show low penetrance and present incomplete or atypical clinical phenotypes, including facial muscle sparing and/or normal motor function, adds complexity to establishing clinically and therapeutically relevant genotype-phenotype correlations [26,27].

While the genetics of FSHD have been studied in detail, much less is known about disease pathomechanisms. One of the most drastic consequences of aberrant DUX4 expression is apoptosis, possibly through the p53-p21 axis [17,28,29] but molecular pathways are elusive.
morphologic features of aberrant differentiation, evident as a disorganized or hypotrophic phenotype [52,53]. We have recently shown that differentiating FSHD myoblasts fail to fully activate a key mediator of mitochondrial biogenesis, the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPARγ) coactivator 1α/estrogen-related receptor α (PGC1α/ERRα) axis, resulting in FSHD myotube hypertrophy [52]. PGC1α overexpression or treatment with ERRα agonists effectively rescues this FSHD hypertrophic phenotype, suggesting that mitochondrial (dys)function is central to impaired FSHD myogenesis. Since mitochondria are the main site of cellular O2 consumption, hypoxia signalling and metabolic adaptation to varying O2 availability might be directly affected by oxidative stress and dysfunctional FSHD mitochondria. We have previously also found perturbed hypoxia-inducible factor 1α (HIF1α) signalling in FSHD muscle biopsies [33,54], and a recent study identifies HIF1α signalling as the main driver of DUX4-induced muscle cell death [55].

Although unclear how altered muscle cell metabolism, oxidative stress and hypoxia signalling are involved in FSHD pathogenesis at the molecular level, antioxidant treatment has been proposed as a potential therapy for FSHD [56]. Antioxidants rescue aspects of DUX4 toxicity, such as oxidative DNA damage [48] and apoptosis [57], possibly by suppressing DUX4 transcription itself, which increases under oxidative stress through a DNA damage response-dependent mechanism [58]. A clinical trial evaluating dietary antioxidant supplementation (vitamin C, vitamin E, zinc gluconate and selenomethionine) in FSHD patients demonstrated moderate muscle functional improvement with a concomitant alleviation of oxidative stress and damage [59,60].

To date, only conventional, non-targeted antioxidants that mainly accumulate in the cytoplasm have been investigated. Since the respiratory chain can be a significant driver of ROS formation, especially in dysfunctional mitochondria, more targeted antioxidant-based therapeutic approaches might improve the so far rather moderate clinical outcomes. Indeed, a recent study [61] has shown that mitochondria-targeted antioxidant treatment in muscle cells with a low level of DUX4-induction can partially rescue aspects of DUX4-induced redox and differentiation defects, suggesting a role of mitochondrial ROS (mitoROS) in DUX4 toxicity.

Our aim is to understand how disturbed redox signalling and muscle metabolism integrate into current pathophysiologic paradigms to identify how mitochondria contribute to metabolic stress in FSHD. Our differential gene expression analysis of published RNA sequencing (RNAseq) data of FSHD muscle biopsies [62] reveals strong enrichment of genes involved in processes related to mitochondria, with mitochondrial complex I (NADH dehydrogenase) assembly being the top differentially expressed gene ontology (GO) term. We identify that elevated mitochondrial membrane potential (ΔΨm) correlates with increased ROS levels in a panel of FSHD patient-derived muscle lines, which produce hypotrophic FSHD myotubes. DUX4 increases ΔΨm and ROS in a dose-dependent manner. Importantly, DUX4-induced changes in mitochondrial function and metabolic activity precede oxidative stress through mitoROS formation, identifying mitochondria as the source of excess ROS. Respiriometric analysis of DUX4-overexpressing muscle cells reveals that, in accordance with the transcriptomics data, complex I is the main target conferring mitochondrial dysfunction, while complex II-linked respiration is largely unaffected. DUX4 also differentially affects HIF1α stabilisation under environmental hypoxia in myoblasts versus myotubes, emphasizing the relation between mitochondrial respiration and hypoxia signalling. HIF1α stabilisation correlates with complex I-linked respiration, in a manner involving both cellular redistribution of O2 and mitoROS. DUX4-induced perturbation of cellular respiration and hypoxia signalling sensitizes FSHD myogenesis to hypoxia through increased oxidative stress, evident by hypoxia-induced increases in ΔΨm and ROS levels that aggravate the hypotrophic myotube phenotype compared to normoxia. Finally, we show that antioxidant treatment of differentiating FSHD muscle cells rescues FSHD myogenesis in hypoxia through alleviation of oxidative stress. Intriguingly, although all tested antioxidants rescue FSHD myotube hypertrophy, the mitochondria-targeted superoxide (O2−) dismutase (SOD) mimetic mitoTEMPO is most efficient, not only reducing ROS levels but also ΔΨm and cellular hypoxia while increasing metabolic activity. This work suggests that oxidative stress and hypoxia signalling perturbation in FSHD are caused by mitochondrial dysfunction, and that mitochondria-targeted antioxidants may offer a novel therapeutic entry point to complement the current, more experimental therapies directed at reducing DUX4 levels.

2. Results

2.1. Transcriptional deregulation of pathways involved in the mitochondrial respiratory chain in FSHD muscle biopsies and patient-derived FSHD muscle cells

We have previously shown that genes involved in oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS), mitochondrial aerobic metabolism and mitochondrial biogenesis are dynamically repressed in FSHD myogenesis [52]. Here, to examine interplay between mitochondria, ROS metabolism and hypoxia signalling, we re-analysed previously published RNAseq data (GSE115650) from magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)-guided FSHD muscle biopsies recently published by Wang et al. [62] (patient demographics, genetics, pathology and MRI-features are detailed in Ref. [62]). Wang et al. stratified their FSHD patients on the basis of increasing relative expression of four DUX4 target genes (LEUTX, KHDC1L, TRIM43 and PRAMEF2) into Groups 1 to 4 [62]. Group 4 showed active pathology in the form of muscle fibre necrosis/regeneration or inflammation [62].

We identified 7035 differentially expressed genes (DEG), with 3147 down-regulated and 3888 up-regulated in muscle biopsies from the 6 FSHD patients with the highest relative expression of the four DUX4 target genes (Group 4 [62]), compared to the 9 control individuals (Fig. 1A). GO analysis identified significantly enriched biological processes related to mitochondria, response to oxidative stress and O2 levels, and metabolism of nitrogen compounds (Fig. 1B and C), regulated through differential expression of 887 genes in FSHD (Fig. 1D). Notably, among the 30 top significant GO terms: biological processes (GOBPs), genes involved in mitochondrial complex I assembly and mitochondrial gene expression were specifically enriched. In addition, genes involved in development of functional muscle, blood vessels and immune response were found enriched in FSHD (Fig. 1B and C), identifying extra-muscular pathologic features.

Since the mitochondrial genome encodes important protein subunits involved in the respiratory chain [six complex I subunits (MT-ND1-6), one complex III subunit (MT-CYB), three complex IV subunits (MT-CO1-3) and two complex V subunits (MT-ATP6, 8) [63]], we also analysed expression of the 13 protein-coding mitochondrial genes based on DESeq2 normalized counts from this same published FSHD patient and unaffected individual cohort. In accordance with the GOBP analysis (Fig. 1B and C), most of the 13 mitochondrial protein-coding genes were downregulated in FSHD patient muscle from Groups 1–3, and all were significantly downregulated in Group 4 (Fig. 1E and Fig. S1). The relative expression of the DUX4 target genes ZSCAN4, TRIM43, PRAMEF1 and MBD3L2 were also highest in Group 4 (Fig. 1F). There was a significant inverse correlation between DUX4 target gene expression (ZSCAN4, TRIM43, PRAMEF1 and MBD3L2) and that of the mitochondrially encoded protein-coding genes in patient biopsies with increasing pathological severity (Group 1–4) (Fig. 1F).

Downregulation of the 13 protein-coding mitochondrial genes was also significant in FSHD patient-derived myoblasts (16A) versus sibling-matched controls (16U) in vitro, and also able to distinguish the FSHD from the control line (Fig. 1G). Since complex I subunits encoded by both the mitochondrial and nuclear genome are affected, we hypothesised that mitochondrial dysfunction might predominantly stem from alterations in complex I-linked respiration (Fig. 1B).
2.2. FSHD myogenic cells are characterised by elevated steady-state $\Delta \Psi_m$ and increased mitoROS levels

Since transcriptomic analysis showed widespread alterations in mitochondrial function characterised by $\Delta \Psi_m$ and intracellular ROS metabolism in FSHD, $\Delta \Psi_m$ is an important parameter of mitochondrial function, as is part of the proton motive force (pmf) maintained across the mitochondrial membrane to drive ATP synthesis through OXPHOS [64]. We examined $\Delta \Psi_m$ in a panel of patient-derived FSHD myoblast cell lines and matched controls: the 54 and K series derived from two mosaic FSHD1 patients, and so isogenic bar the FSHD contraction, and 16s from an FSHD patient and their unaffected sibling. $\Delta \Psi_m$ was significantly increased in FSHD muscle cells (54-12, K8 and 16A) compared to controls (54-6, K4, 16U), irrespective of stage of differentiation (myoblasts versus differentiated myotubes), as assayed with tetramethylrhodamine methyl ester (TMRM) fluorescence measurements (Fig. 2A).

Since the respiratory chain can produce large amounts of ROS at persistently high $\Delta \Psi_m$ [65], we next assessed cytoplasmic ROS levels using the general ROS indicator CM-H$_2$DCFDA. We found consistently elevated ROS levels in FSHD myogenic cells, as assessed by CM-H$_2$DCFDA fluorescence measurements (Fig. 2B), correlating with increased $\Delta \Psi_m$. Intriguingly, probing for mitoROS levels with MitoTracker® Red CM-H$_2$XROS revealed that FSHD mitochondria are characterised by significantly higher ROS levels (Fig. 2C). This suggests that mitochondrial $\text{O}_2^\bullet-$ leaking from the electron transport chain (ETC) into the mitochondrial matrix and cytoplasm could be a major source contributing to oxidative stress generation in FSHD. This positive correlation between elevated $\Delta \Psi_m$ and (mito)ROS levels was consistent between different patient-derived cellular models. Further, impaired mitochondrial ROS metabolism in FSHD myogenic cells correlates with formation of hypotrophic FSHD myotubes upon differentiation, as shown by immunolabelling for the sarcomeric protein myosin heavy chain (MyHC) (Fig. 2D; quantification of MyHC-containing area in Figs. 8E and S5). This supports our theory that redox imbalances in FSHD cells towards a gradually more oxidative cellular environment impair FSHD myogenesis.

2.3. DUX4-induced changes in mitochondrial function and metabolic activity precede oxidative stress through increased mitoROS formation

To investigate impact of DUX4 on modulation of mitochondrial activity and ROS metabolism, we used the DUX4-inducible LHCN-M2-iDUX (iDUX4) human myoblast line [66] to examine kinetics of redox changes in response to varying levels of DUX4. We titrated the doxycycline (DOX) inducer to elicit low (12.5 ng/mL DOX), medium (62.5 ng/mL DOX) and strong (125 ng/mL DOX) DUX4 induction. Similar to our observations in FSHD myoblasts, DUX4 induced an increase in $\Delta \Psi_m$.
in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 3A), with significant changes in ΔΨm detectable after 8 h with the highest DOX concentration (125 ng/mL) in iDUX4 myoblasts. After 12 h, all DUX4 induction treatments showed significant elevation of ΔΨm. Likewise, metabolic activity at the 12 h timepoint was significantly reduced at all DUX4 levels (Fig. S2A).

Tracking ΔΨm and both general and mitoROS levels over the 16 h experimental period revealed interesting kinetics regarding DUX4-induced changes in mitoROS metabolism (Fig. 3A and B). DUX4 interferes with mitochondrial metabolic activity, highlighted by an initial decrease in general and mitoROS levels (8 h timepoint) and concomitant gradual polarisation of the mitochondrial membrane, likely through DUX4 adversely affecting OXPHOS. As the increase in ΔΨm becomes chronic and more pronounced (T12-16 h), a significant increase in mitoROS levels follows, detectable after 16 h with medium and strong DUX4 induction (Fig. 3B). Again, the concept that cytoplasmic and mitoROS levels showed similar kinetics in response to DUX4 expression highlights the central involvement of the respiratory chain in ROS production, which are eventually released from the mitochondrial matrix into the cytoplasm. Possibly, DUX4 affects both processes, the rate of mitoROS generation and their release into the cytoplasm via formation of mitochondrial permeability transition pores.

Given the known relationship between ΔΨm and mitoROS generation [64], even moderate increases in ΔΨm can drastically increase ROS levels, especially when these changes in ΔΨm become chronic and the oxidative stress response is gradually overwhelmed by excess mitoROS. Changes in ΔΨm preceded by at least 4 h increases in general (cytoplasmic) ROS (Fig. 3A) and mitoROS (Fig. 3B) in iDUX4 myoblasts at medium (62.5 ng/mL DOX) or strong (125 ng/mL DOX) DUX4 stimulation. Interestingly, low (12.5 ng/mL DOX) DUX4 induction did not trigger measurable increases in mitoROS levels over 16 h, albeit increasing ΔΨm significantly. Since the methodology used in this study measures ROS levels, not production, we therefore also assayed
Fig. 3. DUX4-induced mitochondrial dysfunction is an early event in oxidative stress generation through mitochondrial ROS. (A) DUX4 increases ΔΨm in DUX4-inducible LHCN-M2-iDUX (iDUX4) human myoblasts in a dose dependent manner (assessed by TMRM fluorescence), preceding detection of elevated ROS levels (assessed by CM-H$_2$DCFDA fluorescence) by at least 4 h, and (B) subsequently triggers oxidative stress through mitochondrial ROS (assessed by MitoTracker® Red CM-H$_2$XROS fluorescence). (C) The gradual increase in mitoROS subsequently causes mitochondrial oxidative damage through lipid peroxidation after 16 h of DUX4 expression, quantified by calculating the ratio between MitoPerOx fluorescence intensity at em520/em590 after excitation at 488 nm. (D) Changes in metabolic activity (measured using the luminescence RealTime-Glo™ MT Cell Viability assay with normalisation to DNA content) precede apoptosis (measured using RealTime-Glo™ Annexin V Apoptosis and Necrosis assay), the main trigger of DUX4-induced muscle cell death, which commences after 12 h of low DUX4 expression. Data is mean ± s.d. from at least 4 wells each from a representative experiment with p values as indicated.
mitochondrial lipid peroxidation (calculated by the ratio between MitoPerOx fluorescence intensity at em520/em590 after excitation at 488 nm) to investigate whether even low induction of DUX4 is capable of contributing to mitochondrial oxidative damage through mitoROS (Fig. 3C). As hypothesised, after 16 h of DUX4 induction at variable intensity, all DUX4 levels led to significantly increased mitochondrial lipid peroxidation at comparable levels, compared to non-induced controls, suggesting that low induction of DUX4 does increase mitoROS generation from the respiratory chain.

To investigate the temporal relationship between metabolic stress and cell death in more detail, we used low DUX4 induction (12.5 ng/mL DOX) to track changes in metabolic activity and apoptosis/necrosis. DUX4 led to an immediate decrease in metabolic activity, measured using the luminescence RealTime-Glo™ MT Cell Viability assay with normalisation to DNA content, already evident at the earliest 8 h time-point of DOX stimulation, so before significant increases in ΔΨm could be detected. Using the combined luminescence/fluorescence RealTime-Glo™ Annexin V Apoptosis and Necrosis assay to detect early apoptosis revealed that the first sign of apoptosis were evident around 12 h, and more pronounced at 16 h (Fig. 3D). However, morphologically, DUX4 induction with 12.5 ng/mL DOX did not show an overt apoptotic phenotype over 16 h (Fig. S2C), suggesting that the majority of cells were in the early stages of apoptosis. Apoptosis seems to be the driving force of DUX4 toxicity, although a moderate increase in necrosis could also be detected. As expected, apoptosis and necrosis correlated with the degree of DUX4 induction (Figs. S2B and C), as did (mito)ROS levels and ΔΨm (Fig. 3A and B) in iDUX4 myoblasts. After 16 h, Annexin V signal was significantly increased at all DUX4 levels, but correlating morphological changes only occurred at medium (62.5 ng/mL DOX) or strong (125 ng/mL DOX) DUX4 stimulation, which further correlated with a concomitant significant increase in necrosis and decrease in DNA content (Figs. S2B and C). Since these two treatment groups were also marked by elevated (mito)ROS levels, DUX-induced apoptosis likely involves mitochondrial pro-apoptotic signalling in response to persistent mitochondrial oxidative damage. This is further substantiated by the observation that, after the onset of apoptosis (24 h), all DUX4 stimulation regimes triggered a gradual collapse of ΔΨm with a concomitant decrease in ROS levels, likely due to cell death in response to mitochondrial dysfunction (Fig. S2D).

To examine whether effects of DUX4 on ΔΨm and mitoROS metabolism are consistent between iDUX4 myoblasts and myotubes, we induced DUX4 expression at variable levels in iDUX4 myotubes. We obtained similar results compared to myoblasts, but overall higher DUX4 levels were needed, as myotubes mainly rely on OXPHOS [67] and are thus generally better equipped to cope with resulting oxidative stress [68,69]. Increase in ΔΨm in response to variable DUX4 levels was dose-dependent, with strong induction (125 ng/mL DOX) leading to a significant increase after 12 h, with elevated ROS levels evident after 24 h of DOX treatment in iDUX4 myotubes (Fig. 4A). Likewise, the 24 h timepoint coincided with an increase in mitoROS levels at 125 ng/mL DOX (Fig. 4B). MitoROS levels in iDUX4 myotubes were unchanged 12 h after DUX4 induction, followed by increased levels as mitochondrial membrane polarisation progresses (Fig. 4B).

Again, mitochondrial oxidative damage, as assessed via mitochondrial lipid peroxidation (Fig. 4C), and concomitantly reduced metabolic activity (Fig. 4D) was triggered at all DUX4 levels in iDUX4 myotubes after 24 h, pointing to similar mechanisms of DUX4-induced redox disturbances to those operating in iDUX4 myoblasts.

These data suggest the impairment of mitochondrial OXPHOS as an early event in DUX4-induced toxicity, leading to a gradual increase in ΔΨm, which in turn manifests in mitochondrial oxidative damage caused by an excess of mitoROS. Once the (mitochondrial) oxidative stress response is overwhelmed in response to these chronic redox changes, mitochondrial dysfunction (collapse of ΔΨm and decrease of ROS in response to reduced OXPHOS), at least in part, triggers apoptosis.

2.4. DUX4-induced mitochondrial dysfunction is conferred through mitochondrial complex I

Having identified DUX4 as a major trigger of changes in ΔΨm and mitochondrial ROS metabolism, and the similarity of these changes between FSHD patient-derived, and iDUX4 disease, models, we next investigated effects of DUX4 on mitochondrial oxygen consumption through high-resolution respirometry. Normally, complex I contributes to generation of ΔΨm, so increased ΔΨm in FSHD might be attributable to altered complex I activity, as suggested by our transcriptomic analysis (Fig. 1). Thus, we next focussed on how DUX4 affects mitochondrial respiration if electrons to the ETC are provided via either complex I or II.

We induced DUX4 in iDUX4 myoblasts with 62.5 ng/mL DOX for 16 h, a concentration that robustly increases ΔΨm and (mito)ROS levels (Fig. 2A and B). DUX4 significantly reduced complex I-linked OXPHOS (state 3) and maximum electron transfer system (ETS) capacity in iDUX4 myoblasts, while complex II-linked respiration was unaffected (Fig. 5A–C). LEAK respiration (state 4) via complex I was also reduced (Fig. 5D), possibly contributing to mitoROS generation through mitochondrial membrane hyperpolarisation. LEAK respiration via complex II was unchanged (Fig. S3A), as were the respiratory control ratio (RCR) of both complex I and II (Fig. S3B). Representative oxygraphs are shown in Fig. S3C.

Complex I-linked respiration was also primarily affected by DUX4 expression in iDUX4 myotubes with 62.5 ng/mL DOX for 24 h. However, DUX4 expression caused an increase in both complex I-linked OXPHOS (state 3) and maximum ETS capacity in iDUX4 myotubes, while these parameters where largely unchanged via complex II (Fig. 5E–G). In contrast to iDUX4 myoblasts, LEAK respiration via complex I (state 4) was unaffected (Fig. 5H), as was LEAK respiration via complex II (Fig. S3D). RCR of both complex I and II was moderately increased (Fig. S3E). Representative oxygraphs are shown in Fig. S3F. Myoblast viability or DNA content of sister myotube cultures were used for normalisation (Fig. S3G).

2.5. DUX4-induced mitochondrial dysfunction conferred through mitochondrial complex I interferes with hypoxia signalling

We next focused on the link between mitochondrial dysfunction and deregulated hypoxia signalling [54,55]. Dysfunctional mitochondria with altered oxygen consumption rates can directly affect HIF1α nuclear stabilisation through mechanisms including redistribution of cellular O2 and ROS signalling [70–72]. We cultured iDUX4 myoblasts and myotubes in environmental hypoxia (1% O2) and induced DUX4 as per the respirometry experiments. Altered mitochondrial function correlated with cellular oxygenation, as assessed by the fluorescent O2-sensitive hypoxia indicator Image-IT™ Green Hypoxia Reagent: DUX4 expressing myoblasts (with reduced complex I-linked respiration) were marked by higher intracellular O2 levels (and were thus less hypoxic), whereas myotubes (with increased complex I-linked respiration) displayed lower intracellular O2 levels (and were thus more hypoxic), as assessed with fluorescence intensity measurements using a hypoxia sensitive fluorescent dye (Fig. 5I).

Differential regulation of the molecular response to hypoxia in 1% O2 was further supported by the finding that DUX4 and nuclear-located HIF1α protein inversely correlate in myoblasts. Increasing DOX...
Fig. 4. **DUX4 expression in myotubes impacts mitochondrial function and subsequently perturbs ROS metabolism.** (A) High DUX4 expression increases $\Delta \Psi_m$ in iDUX4 myotubes (assessed by measuring TMRM fluorescence), preceding detection of elevated ROS levels (assessed by CM-H$_2$DCFDA fluorescence) by 12 h, and subsequently triggers (B) oxidative stress through mitochondrial ROS (assessed by MitoTracker® Red CM-H$_2$XROS fluorescence). (C) The gradual increase in mitoROS causes mitochondrial oxidative damage after 24 h of DUX4 expression, with mitochondrial lipid peroxidation quantified by calculating the ratio between MitoPerOx fluorescence intensity at em520/em590 after excitation at 488 nm. (D) Similar to changes in myoblasts, DUX4 expression for 24 h in myotubes causes reduction of metabolic activity (measured using the luminescence RealTime-Glo™ MT Cell Viability assay with normalisation to DNA content) as oxidative stress through elevated ROS becomes evident. Data is mean ± s.d. from 4 wells each from a representative experiment with $p$ values as indicated.
Fig. 5. DUX4 affects mitochondrial respiration specifically at complex I and impairs cellular oxygenation through cellular redistribution of O$_2$. (A–D) High-resolution respirometry in DUX4 expressing iDUX4 myoblasts (DOX 62.5 ng/mL for 16 h) identifies reduced OXPHOS, maximum electron transfer system (ETS) capacity and LEAK (uncoupled) respiration through complex I, but not complex II. (E–G) Complex I-linked OXPHOS and maximum ETS capacity is increased in DUX4 expressing myotubes (DOX 62.5 ng/mL for 24 h), while complex II is again unaffected. (H) In contrast to myoblasts, DUX4 does not change complex I LEAK respiration in iDUX4 myotubes. Glu: 5 mM glutamate, Mal: 5 mM malate, Pyr: 10 mM pyruvate, Succ: 10 mM succinate/1.4 μM rotenone. (I) Hypoxia indicator fluorescence microscopy using the fluorescent O$_2$-sensitive hypoxia indicator Image-IT™ Green Hypoxia Reagent of DUX4 expressing iDUX4 myoblasts (top panel) and myotubes (bottom panel) grown in hypoxia (1% O$_2$) reveals correlation between complex I-linked respiration and cellular hypoxia, as quantified by indicator dye fluorescence intensity on a plate reader in a separate experiment (representative micrographs are shown, scale bar represents 50 μm). Data is mean ± s. d. from 4 to 6 wells each from a representative experiment (except for respirometry, where 4 independent experiments were performed) with p values as indicated. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)
Fig. 6. **DUX4 interferes with HIF1α signalling activity in environmental hypoxia.** (A) Percentage of DUX4-positive and HIF1α-positive nuclei in hypoxic iDUX4 myoblasts under 1% O₂ induced to express DUX4 for 24 h at variable levels correlate inversely, with reduced nuclear HIF1α correlating with reduced complex I-linked OXPHOS (see Fig. 5A–D). (B) Representative immunofluorescence microscopy image of iDUX4 myoblasts after DUX4 expression (DOX 125 ng/mL for 24 h) in hypoxia immunolabelled for HIF1α, with a nuclear HOECHST33342 counterstain, alongside non-induced controls (BF: brightfield, scale bar represents 75 μm). (C) Percentage of DUX4-positive myonuclei in hypoxic myotubes under 1% O₂ induced to express DUX4 for 24 h at variable amounts correlates with HIF1α nuclear localisation and with increased complex I-linked OXPHOS (see Fig. 5E–G). (D) Representative immunofluorescence microscopy image of iDUX4 myotubes after DUX4 expression (DOX 125 ng/mL for 24 h) in hypoxia (differentiation for 72 h under 1% O₂) co-immunolabelled for HIF1α and MyHC, with a nuclear HOECHST33342 counterstain, alongside non-induced controls (scale bar represents 75 μm). Data is mean ± s.d. of number of nuclei/myonuclei stated, from 3 wells from a representative experiment with p values as indicated. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)
concentrations (12.5 vs 62.5 vs 125 ng/mL DOX for 24 h) reduced HIF1α-positive nuclei from ~100% in uninduced hypoxic iDUX4 myoblasts to ~60% at the highest DUX4 levels, as assessed by immunofluorescence (Fig. 6A and B). Conversely, DUX4 induction for 24 h in hypoxic iDUX4 myotubes under 1% O₂ increased the percentage of HIF1α-positive myonuclei from around 40% in uninduced controls to 60% at the highest DOX levels (Fig. 6C and D). Low to medium DUX4 induction in iDUX4 myotubes also led to significant changes in nuclear HIF1α in a dose-dependent manner, suggesting that DUX4 acts on HIF1α signalling activity in a mechanism involving mitochondria.

2.6. DUX4 aggravates hypoxia-induced oxidative stress and sensitizes FSHD myogenesis to hypoxia

Having shown that DUX4 alters mitochondrial function and interferes with HIF1α activation, we next investigated the effects of DUX4 on ΔΨm and ROS levels in hypoxia. Myogenic differentiation is characterised by a gradual switch from predominantly glycolytic metabolism in myoblasts to OXPHOS in myotubes as the major energy source, and perturbation of metabolic pathways required for oxidative metabolism impacts myogenic differentiation [73,74]. Skeletal muscles are subject to regular physiological variations in local O₂ availability, and hypoxia signalling is central to metabolic adaptation. Thus DUX4-induced redox and metabolic changes will interfere with this normal adaptation to hypoxia, a condition that naturally elicits some degree of oxidative stress [75].

We analysed ΔΨm and ROS levels in iDUX4 myoblasts and myotubes cultured in ambient (“normoxia”, 21% O₂) versus hypoxic (1% O₂) conditions. Hypoxia increased both ΔΨm and ROS levels in non-induced iDUX4 myoblasts and ROS levels in non-induced iDUX4 myotubes. DUX4 increased ΔΨm and ROS levels in normoxia, and they were further enhanced at 1% O₂ in both iDUX4 myoblasts and myotubes treated with 125 ng/mL DOX (Fig. 7A and B). Consequently, myogenic differentiation was affected at lower levels of DUX4 induction in hypoxia compared to normoxia. Non-induced control iDUX4 myoblasts differentiated into myotubes with similar efficiency at 21% versus 1%, so regardless of O₂ availability. However, myogenesis was impaired at lower DOX concentrations (so lower DUX4 levels) in hypoxia (62.5 ng/ mL DOX) compared to normoxia (125 ng/mL DOX), as assessed by quantification of MyHC-containing area (Fig. 7C and D). While high DUX4 induction with 125 ng/mL DOX elicited myotube hypotrophy both under normoxic and hypoxic conditions after 24 h, medium induction (62.5 ng/mL DOX) only produced this phenotype in hypoxia (Fig. 7D). Morphologically, this phenotype was accompanied by iDUX4 myotube fragmentation and increased presence of hypotrophic myotubes with myonuclei strongly positive for HIF1α (Fig. S4). Morphological myotube hypertrophy/fragmentation correlated with increased mitoROS levels, which were only evident at DOX concentrations that produced a hypotrophic phenotype (Fig. 7E).

We next assessed effects of hypoxia (1% O₂) on the three independent patient-derived FSHD/control paired myoblast lines. FSHD myotubes maintained higher ΔΨm and ROS levels in hypoxia (Fig. 8A and B), with more pronounced differences from controls compared to normoxia (Fig. 2A and B). Since myotubes rely predominantly on OXPHOS, DUX4-induced interference with hypoxic adaptation should render FSHD myotubes more vulnerable to redox imbalances than FSHD myoblasts. We thus compared ROS levels of control versus FSHD myoblasts and myotubes between normoxia and hypoxia. Hypoxia did not elicit differential changes in ROS levels in FSHD and control myoblasts, but myotubes displayed a larger increase in ROS levels compared to control myotubes when differentiated in hypoxia (Fig. 8C). In general, ROS levels in both hypoxic control and FSHD myotubes increased dramatically (approximately two-fold in controls) compared to normoxia, but we observed a disproportionate increase in hypoxic FSHD myotubes. The concomitant increase of ΔΨm in hypoxic FSHD myotubes (Fig. 8A) again suggests that this differential increase is caused by altered mitochondrial ROS metabolism. Notably, hypoxia consistently aggravated the hypotrophic FSHD myotube phenotype observed in normoxia in all three patient-derived models, whereas control myotubes did not generally show any gross morphological impairment of differentiation in hypoxia (Fig. 8D and E). Likewise, control myotubes differentiated in normoxia under exogenous oxidative stress (400 µM H₂O₂) or chemically-induced hypoxia (100 µM CoCl₂) displayed a hypotrophic myotube phenotype similar to that of FSHD myotubes (Fig. S5). These observations strongly suggest that failure of FSHD myotubes to adapt their metabolism to hypoxia to maintain redox control and prevent oxidative stress/damage is the driving mechanism underlying myotube hypotrophy.

2.7. Mitochondria-targeted antioxidants efficiently rescue hallmarks of FSHD

Conventional, non-targeted antioxidant treatment can rescue aspects of FSHD pathology in vitro [48,57,76] and in vivo [59,60], although with only moderate therapeutic efficiency. In addition, antioxidant rescue studies in vitro have only been performed under ambient O₂ levels, so potential therapeutic effects on metabolic switching under low O₂ availability are unknown. Having identified that mitoROS production by dysfunctional mitochondria upstream of oxidative stress disturbs FSHD myogenesis, specifically in hypoxia, we tested whether a more targeted antioxidant approach could more effectively rescue FSHD pathological hallmarks in hypoxic myotubes.

We selected 3 well-established antioxidant compounds with different modes of action and subcellular localisation: Vitamin C (VitC), a classic non-targeted antioxidant which is mostly retained in the cytoplasm; Coenzyme Q10 (CoQ10; ubiquinone-10), a lipophilic compound naturally involved in the mitochondrial ETC that can thus localise to some extent, to mitochondria as well as other membranes; and mitoTEMPO, a mitochondria-targeted SOD-mimetic that accumulates several hundred-fold in mitochondria compared to cytoplasm due to its lipophilic cationic triphenylphosphonium moiety.

Initially, we tested for their ability to reduce ROS levels and ΔΨm after DUX4 induction in hypoxic iDUX4 myotubes under 1% O₂, which produced the strongest morphological and redox phenotypes. VitC, CoQ10 and mitoTEMPO all reduced general ROS levels, with VitC and mitoTEMPO showing highest efficiency and almost identical reduction (Fig. 9A). This further emphasizes that mitoROS could be the primary trigger of oxidative stress, as ROS can leave the mitochondria in the form of H₂O₂ after dismutation by SOD, which would enable subsequent detoxification by cytoplasmic antioxidants like VitC. Notably though, only mitoTEMPO could reduce ΔΨm in response to DUX4 expression (Fig. 9B), demonstrating that (i) mitochondrial membrane polarisation is at least in part mediated by redox changes elicited by mitoROS and (ii) mitochondria-targeted antioxidant treatment may directly alleviate DUX4-induced mitochondrial dysfunction. Since mitoROS are a prerequisite for hypoxia signalling activation, only antioxidants capable of entering the mitochondria (mitoTEMPO and, to a much lesser extent, CoQ10) reduced iDUX4 myotube hypoxia (Fig. 9C). Interestingly, only mitoTEMPO was able to improve metabolic activity in DUX4 expressing myotubes, with VitC showing a detrimental effect, possibly due to pro-oxidant mechanisms (Fig. 9D). Importantly, all three compounds were able to rescue DUX4-induced myotube hypotrophy (Fig. 9E).
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We also tested these three antioxidants in patient-derived FSHD myotubes in hypoxia. All compounds reduced ROS levels with similar efficiency, but mitoTEMPO again was most efficient in reducing FSHD myotube ΔΨm and hypoxia, and improving metabolic activity (Fig. 10A and Fig. S6). Notably, all three antioxidant compounds rescued FSHD myotube hypotrophy in hypoxia (Fig. 10B and C), demonstrating that targeting of redox-sensitive FSHD pathomechanisms has direct and beneficial effects on FSHD myogenesis under increased oxidative stress.

Our analysis demonstrates that the efficiency of a given antioxidant compound in rescuing redox-sensitive FSHD pathomechanisms correlates with its ability to localise to mitochondria.

3. Discussion

This work for the first time provides a pathomechanistic model integrating two previously identified but under-studied central pathologic hallmarks of FSHD: mitochondrial dysfunction and disturbed hypoxia signalling. We identify that complex I-linked mitochondrial respiration is strongly and uniquely impaired in FSHD patient-derived muscle biopsies at the transcriptomic level, with robust and significant inverse correlation between disease severity and mitochondrial protein-coding gene expression. We further demonstrate at the functional level that complex I-linked respiration is specifically affected by DUX4, disturbing the molecular response to hypoxia through HIF1α when DUX4 expressing cells are cultured in environmental hypoxia. We pinpoint involvement of enhanced mitoROS generation from the ETC, driven by ΔΨm, and show that mitochondria-targeted antioxidants efficiently rescue FSHD phenotypes in iDUX4 and patient-derived models, especially when impaired metabolic adaptation to hypoxia increases oxidative stress in FSHD myotubes. In summary, our findings strongly suggest that DUX4 causes widespread metabolic stress, likely through mechanisms involving DUX4-induced redox perturbations triggering mitochondrial oxidative modifications and damage, and through more widespread transcriptional changes elicited by DUX4 in the nuclear genome (Fig. 11).

Supraphysiological DUX4 levels in DUX4-inducible muscle cell models might lead to overestimation of DUX4 toxicity, as even low to moderate DOX concentrations already yield DUX4 levels much higher than found in FSHD patient-derived cell models and biopsies, where DUX4 is notoriously difficult to even detect [77]. We thus investigated DUX4 changes in patient-derived models of FSHD as well as the LHCN-iDUX4 model, and found that changes in cellular ROS metabolism are remarkably consistent between both models, highlighting the central involvement of DUX4 in metabolic stress in FSHD. Specifically, FSHD mitochondria are characterised by hyperpolarised membranes, evident as a steady-state increase of ΔΨm in FSHD myoblasts and myotubes. Similarly, DUX4 expression elevates ΔΨm in a dose-dependent manner. Since the relationship between ΔΨm and mitoROS production is exponential [78], even a small but chronic increase in ΔΨm can trigger high O₂⁻⁻ production through drastically increased electron leakage from the respiratory chain. The notion that DUX4-induced changes in ΔΨm precede elevated ROS levels and subsequent apoptosis (marked by a gradual depolarisation of the mitochondrial membrane) strongly suggests that the majority of ROS in FSHD stem from the respiratory chain, placing mitochondrial dysfunction upstream of oxidative damage.

Lipid peroxidation has been found in FSHD patient muscles [46] and our results are in line with recent work demonstrating that even low level DUX4 expression can trigger mitochondrial lipid peroxidation [61]. Further, membrane repair deficits have been identified in FSHD myoblasts and DUX4 expressing murine myofibres ex vivo, which can be alleviated through antioxidant treatment [76]. Membrane lipid peroxidation is a comparably specific oxidative mechanism but it is unclear what RONS are involved, as is how more general oxidative/nitrosative protein modifications affect muscle function in FSHD. Central involvement of hydroxyl (•OH) radicals and peroxynitrite (ONOO⁻⁻) in lipid peroxidation is well established [79,80], the latter arising from rapid reaction between NO and O₂⁻⁻. Since our transcriptomic analysis also identified impaired nitrogen metabolism in FSHD muscle, elevated mitoROS production could result in increased ONOO⁻⁻ formation, thereby eliciting (mitochondrial) lipid peroxidation at the expense of NO-bioavailability. Kinetics and compartmentalisation of interplay between O₂⁻⁻ and NO are thus critical in transforming physiological regulatory effects of NO to cytotoxic mechanisms through oxidative damage. Of note, NO- also participates in redox signalling pathways upstream of PGC1α-mediated mitochondrial biogenesis and turnover, which we have previously found dynamically repressed in FSHD myogenesis [52]. In this respect, the versatile role of NO in redox-regulation of skeletal muscle function through direct modulation of mitochondrial respiration and signalling [81-83], hypoxia response [84,85] and apoptosis [86,87] prompts research into the pathomechanistic contribution of perturbations of the nitrosative system through mitoROS in FSHD.

Having identified mitochondrial membrane polarisation and mitoROS at the core of DUX4-induced redox perturbance, our respirometric analysis revealed that mitochondrial dysfunction in response to DUX4 expression is uniquely conferred through complex I, a known major source of mitoROS production [89]. Although several other sites of O₂⁻⁻ production have been identified in mitochondria, complex I, alongside complex III, is considered the main driver of ROS production from the ETC [89], specifically at high pmf/ΔΨm. Although it is unclear to what extent individual ROS generating systems in the mitochondria contribute to oxidative stress in FSHD, as complex II-linked respiration was largely unaffected by DUX4, this suggests complex I as major source. Furthermore, as far as the substrate entry points into the ETC are concerned, complex I contributes to generation of ΔΨm while complex II does not under normal circumstances, linking mitochondrial membrane hyperpolarisation with altered complex I function. Chronic complex I inhibition causes mitochondrial membrane hyperpolarisation due to concomitant inhibition of complex V [90]. Hyperpolarisation elevates mitoROS levels and induces mitoROS-mediated mitochondrial apoptosis and aging [91-93]. Reverse electron transport (RET) could be the reason for mitochondrial membrane hyperpolarisation and enhanced O₂⁻⁻ production by complex I, a mechanism previously shown during...
Fig. 8. FSHD myogenesis is particularly susceptible to hypoxia-induced oxidative stress. (A, B) FSHD patient myotubes (54-6 ctrl/54-12 FSHD; K4 ctrl/K8 FSHD; 16U ctrl/16A FSHD) maintain significantly elevated ΔΨm (assessed by measuring TMRM fluorescence) and ROS levels (assessed by CM-H2DCFDA fluorescence) in hypoxia under 1% O2 compared to isogenic/sibling controls, but differences are more pronounced than in normoxia (compare to Fig. 2A and B). (C) Hypoxia increases ROS levels in FSHD patient myotubes disproportionally compared to controls, which is not observed in FSHD myoblasts (FC HYP/NORM: fold change in hypoxic myoblasts/myotubes compared to their respective normoxic controls). (D) FSHD myotubes differentiated in hypoxia fail to properly adapt metabolism to low O2 availability, resulting in an aggravated hypotrophic phenotype as shown by immunolabelling for MyHC (green), with a nuclear HOECHST33342 counterstain (blue). Hypoxic control myotubes are not affected (scale bar represents 250 μm). (E) Quantitation of MyHC-containing area as readout for myotube hypotrophy from immunofluorescence micrographs (# denotes statistical significance between MyHC-positive area of normoxic FSHD myotubes and their hypoxic controls). Data is mean ± s.d. from 3 to 4 wells each from a representative experiment with p values as indicated. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)
Fig. 9. Mitochondria-targeted antioxidants more efficiently rescue DUX4-induced metabolic/hypoxic stress than conventional antioxidants. (A) Treatment of hypoxic iDUX4 myotubes (induced with 125 ng/mL DOX for 24 h under 1% O2) with mitochondria-targeted mitoTempo (mitoT) or conventional CoQ10 or VitC antioxidants effectively reduces ROS levels (assessed by CM-H2DCFDA fluorescence) in response to DUX4, (B) but only mitoTempo normalises ΔΨm (assessed by measuring TMRM fluorescence), and (C) reduces hypoxia (measured using Image-IT™ Green Hypoxia Reagent fluorescence). (D) Only mitoTempo restores metabolic activity in normoxic myotubes (induced with 125 ng/mL DOX for 24 h under 21% O2), as measured using the luminescence RealTime-Glo™ MT Cell Viability assay with normalisation to DNA content. (E) mitoTempo phenotypically rescues DUX4 expressing iDUX4 myotubes in hypoxia with similar efficiency as non-targeted CoQ10 and VitC, emphasising central involvement of mitoROS as source of metabolic/hypoxic stress. Representative immunofluorescence micrographs are shown (scale bar represents 100 μm), as is quantitation of the MyHC-containing area (green) for each treatment group. Data is mean ± s.d. from 3 to 6 wells each from a representative experiment with p values as indicated. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)
complex II-dependent succinate-driven respiration [94]. In addition, F2F2-ATPase contributes to generation of ΔΨm when RET occurs to maintain pmf on account of the hydrolysis of cytoplasmic ATP [95]. Our transcriptomic analysis from patient biopsies strongly supports complex I as the main trigger of mitochondrial dysfunction, identifying mitochondrial complex I assembly as the top enriched GOBP, along with strong enrichment for genes involved in mitochondrial gene expression, energy metabolism and, more general, respiratory chain complex assembly. Notably, we also identified robust transcriptional down-regulation of all 13 protein-coding genes in the mitochondrial genome (of which 6 encode complex I subunits), indicating that mitochondrial gene expression is severely challenged by DUX4. It remains to be determined whether this is a consequence of DUX4-induced oxidative mtDNA damage and thus gradual mtDNA instability, perturbation of the coordination between nuclear and mitochondrial gene expression during mitochondrial remodelling and biogenesis, and/or general reduction of mtDNA content. Interestingly, our GOBP analysis also revealed a strong link between pathways of mitochondrial (dys)function and nitrogen metabolism, with a considerable number of genes shared across both GO terms.

We observed a direct correlation between respiration and cellular hypoxia. DUX4 expression in myoblasts elicited a state resembling metabolic hypoxia [96], where decreased complex I-linked OXPHOS correlated with a decrease in the percentage of HIF1α-positive nuclei, suggesting altered hypoxia sensing/signalling. In myotubes, DUX4 had the opposite effect, with higher O2 consumption through increased complex I-linked OXPHOS further enhancing cellular hypoxia and HIF1α nuclear stabilisation. This correlation suggests that redistribution of O2 from the respiratory chain towards other O2-sensitive circuits (such as HIF1α activation) is a primary mechanism by which mitochondrial dysfunction affects hypoxia signalling in FSHD. Observed differences in OXPHOS between myoblasts and myotubes emphasise the importance of looking at DUX4-induced metabolic and redox changes over various developmental stages of myogenesis, since myoblasts mainly rely on glycolytic metabolism while in vitro terminally differentiated myotubes switch to OXPHOS as main energy source [97–100]. This is highlighted by significant increase in mitochondrial mass and enzyme activity shortly after the onset of myogenic differentiation, and mitochondria have been identified as potent regulators of developmental and regenerative myogenesis [101]. However, the gradual switch from glycolytic to oxidative metabolism also renders myotubes a redox biological system quite different from myoblasts, as myotubes are much better equipped to deal with oxidative stress as a natural and inevitable by-product of the bioenergetically more efficient OXPHOS. This difference may explain the increased susceptibility of myoblasts to oxidative stress compared to FSHD myotubes [40]. In this respect, the DOX concentrations used for respirometric analysis likely triggered much higher levels of oxidative stress/damage in iDUX4 myoblasts than in myotubes. Much higher concentrations of DOX were needed to trigger significantly elevated ROS levels in myotubes compared to myoblasts, and DOX treatment of myotubes with 62.5 ng/mL for 24 h only yielded around 5% DUX4-positive myonuclei (as opposed to around 45% DUX4-positive nuclei in myoblasts), which did not elicit a morphological myotube phenotype in normoxia.

Nevertheless, pro-apoptotic iDUX4 myoblasts after 16 h DOX treatment were marked by reduced complex I-linked OXPHOS and LEAK respiration, indicative of putative ONOO−-mediated impairment of mitochondrial membrane integrity, of complex I itself through irreversible S-nitrosylation, and, possibly, of mitochondrial transport proteins. Whether DUX4 initially affects ΔΨm and OXPHOS through perturbation of the mitochondrial transmembrane systems shuttling ions and metabolites, or directly through generation of mitochondrial oxidative stress, which then causes mitochondrial dysfunction, remains to be elucidated. A more thorough, kinetic respirometric analysis of DUX4-induced effects on OXPHOS will be needed to identify early versus late effects on mitochondrial (dys)function, specifically in myotubes where increased complex I-linked respiration could be an early event before the oxidative stress response is overwhelmed and complex I becomes irreversibly inhibited, as observed in the more oxidative stress-sensitive myoblasts.

Given that changes in OXPHOS directly affect cellular O2 consumption and are thus inevitably linked to hypoxia signalling activity, any impairment of mitochondrial function will impinge on the ability of cells to metabolically adapt to environmental hypoxia. Even though the relationship between hypoxia in terms of HIF1α activation and mitoROS generation and its physiological significance remains controversial, there is accumulating evidence that myogenic cells produce higher amounts of ROS from the respiratory chain under hypoxic conditions [75,102,103]. We found that hypoxic culture increased ROS levels in non-induced iDUX4 myoblasts/myotubes, which were further increased by DUX4. Likewise, lower concentrations of DOX were sufficient to impair myotube formation in hypoxia compared to normoxia.

Since hypoxia did not overtly affect control myogenesis in non-induced cells, we hypothesise that DUX4 further increases hypoxia-induced oxidative stress through interference with hypoxic metabolic adaptation via HIF1α. mitoROS are a prerequisite for HIF1α activation [104,105], and we found significantly elevated mitoROS levels since the untreated control groups that produced a hypotrophic myotube phenotype. It is thus likely that, apart from O2 redistribution (through increased complex I-linked OXPHOS), DUX4 also causes enhanced mitoROS production from complex I to further disturb the response to hypoxia in myotubes by interference with metabolic adaptation through over-stimulation of HIF1α. Although the relation between hypoxia and ΔΨm is not completely understood, further elevation of ΔΨm by DUX4 in hypoxia (compared to normoxia) is probably the cause for enhanced oxidative stress in hypoxic myotubes through mitoROS. Similar to the iDUX4 model, FSHD patient-derived myotubes maintain higher ΔΨm and ROS levels in hypoxia, the latter increasing disproportionally in hypoxic FSHD myotubes compared to normoxia. Hence, FSHD myotubes displayed aggravated hypotrophy when differentiated in hypoxia. Notably, this was not observed in FSHD myoblasts, where FSHD clones exhibit higher ROS levels in hypoxia (data not shown) and normoxia, but hypoxia did not increase ROS levels differentially. This suggests that two factors, oxygen concentration and ΔΨm, predominantly regulate ROS-dependent HIF1α stabilisation in FSHD myotubes.

Consistency between the results obtained in hypoxic DUX4 expressing and FSHD myotubes strengthens our observations and strongly suggests central involvement of DUX4 as the trigger of cellular hypoxia.
oxidative stress and hypoxia sensitivity. Involvement of DUX4-induced oxidative stress as a negative regulator of FSHD myogenesis has mainly been shown in DUX4 overexpression systems, where antioxidants can alleviate myopathic phenotypes [32,48,76], but rarely in FSHD patient-derived cellular models, marked by much lower and sporadic DUX4 expression. In addition, conventional, non-targeted antioxidants have been investigated in all but one study [61]. To pinpoint involvement of mitoROS and hypoxia in FSHD pathogenesis, we used antioxidant compounds with different abilities to localise to mitochondria. VitC, CoQ10, mitoTEMPO all reduced ROS levels in DUX4 expressing hypoxic myotubes and rescued the hypotrophic myotube phenotype. These findings suggest that (i) the respiratory chain is a major contributor to oxidative stress through mitoROS (specifically when metabolic switching is required in response to hypoxia), (ii) mitoROS initially released into the mitochondrial matrix or intermembrane space eventually escape into the cytoplasm as H₂O₂ to further interfere with the cellular redox balance, and (iii) mitoROS are involved in over-stimulation of HIF1α in DUX4 expressing myotubes. Likewise, antioxidant treatment rescued the hypotrophic phenotype of hypoxic FSHD myotubes in three separate patient-derived myoblast lines, where FSHD myotubes had shown increased sensitivity to hypoxia. Notably, mitoTEMPO was most efficient in normalising ΔΨm and hypoxia, while restoring metabolic activity. These findings suggest that (i) the respiratory chain is a major contributor to oxidative stress through mitoROS (specifically when metabolic switching is required in response to hypoxia), (ii) mitoROS initially released into the mitochondrial matrix or intermembrane space eventually escape into the cytoplasm as H₂O₂ to further interfere with the cellular redox balance, and (iii) mitoROS are involved in over-stimulation of HIF1α in DUX4 expressing myotubes. Likewise, antioxidant treatment rescued the hypotrophic phenotype of hypoxic FSHD myotubes in three separate patient-derived myoblast lines, where FSHD myotubes had shown increased sensitivity to hypoxia. Notably, mitoTEMPO was most efficient in normalising ΔΨm, reducing hypoxia and restoring metabolic activity through its ability to enrich within mitochondria, yet no difference in the ability of the antioxidants to morphologically rescue FSHD myogenesis was observed, further underscoring that oxidative stress in FSHD stems predominantly from mitoROS. In summary, we have identified mitochondrial dysfunction followed by elevated generation of mitoROS as a primary mechanism by which DUX4 causes oxidative stress in FSHD [Fig. 11]. We provide a link between the redox biological changes elicited through disturbed ROS metabolism and hypoxia sensitivity, and show complex I dysfunction with enhanced mitoROS production from the respiratory chain as a main trigger of DUX4-mediated pathogenesis. We further demonstrate that mitochondria-targeted antioxidants are more effective in alleviating aspects of disturbed myotube metabolism compared to conventional antioxidants, highlighting involvement of the respiratory chain as a source of ROS. Given the moderate clinical outcomes in FSHD patients seen in clinical trials employing non-targeted antioxidants [60,106], mitochondria-targeted compounds should be a more efficient approach, as they target ROS directly at the site of generation and require much lower concentrations to be effective in vivo while interfering less with physiologically important redox pathways [107]. Indeed, mitochondria-targeted antioxidants have been proven safe in humans [108], with beneficial effects in phase II clinical trials for hepatitis C [109] and dry eye treatment [110]. A limitation of this study is that we did not compare in detail the pharmacological effects of the tested antioxidants, however, mitochondria-targeted antioxidants are generally efficient in much lower concentrations than untargeted ones. Mitochondria-targeted antioxidants will also be useful to elucidate the mechanistic role of disturbed mitochondrial ROS metabolism in FSHD pathogenesis. Of significance, two prominent extra-muscular features of FSHD, retinal telangectasia and sensorineural hearing loss, have also been linked with oxidative stress and mitochondrial dysfunction [111,112]. Both retina and cochlea are characterised by high metabolic activity, and, specifically, the central involvement of OXPHOS deficits in the pathophysiology of hearing loss is well characterised [113]. While the beneficial effects of conventional antioxidants in treatment of hearing loss remain controversial [114], mitochondria-targeted antioxidants have yielded promising results in animal studies [115]. It is crucial to now identify how DUX4 challenges cellular redox pathways to understand the extent of the metabolic stresses in FSHD. Deeper understanding of OXPHOS-related pathomechanisms will not only inform novel therapeutics such as mitochondria-targeted antioxidants, Szeto-Schiller (SS) peptides or mild uncouplers [116,117], but will likely also reveal novel aspects of FSHD aetiology. DUX4 affects more than 200 genes “indirectly” by oxidative stress in normoxic myoblasts [61]. Given discrepancies between the available transcriptomic and proteomic data sets [118], investigating DUX4-induced transcriptional changes will not allow conclusions regarding the FSHD metabolome. Dynamic transcriptomic-metabolomic analyses of FSHD myogenesis under O₂ tensions to model physioxia and hypoxia are needed to find novel pathomechanisms related to metabolic adaptation undetectable in normoxia. This will not only decipher mechanisms of metabolic stress related to hypoxia, but will also identify whether the redox-sensitive core oxidative metabolic pathways providing substrates for OXPHOS are uniquely affected, in addition to the mitochondrial respiratory chain. Since FSHD patients show signs of both muscular and systemic oxidative stress/damage, evaluation of mechanisms described here in non-myogenic FSHD models will be useful to investigate how aspects of DUX4-induced redox perturbance affect cell and tissue function in these models. 4. Materials and methods 4.1. Transcriptomic analyses For differential expression (DE) analysis, RNA-Seq data (gene counts) of muscle biopsies from 6 severely affected FSHD patients and 9 unaffected individuals were obtained from GSE115650 [62]. Data processing was performed as recently described [119]. Briefly, filtering for lowly expressed genes (CPM <1) and further biotype filtering was performed in R with the Bioconductor packages NOISeq [120] and biomart [121] to remove highly expressed mitochondrial and ribosomal RNA. DE analysis was performed using the Bioconductor package edgeR [122]. Specifically, a negative binomial generalized log-linear model (glmfit) was fitted to the read counts and the likelihood ratio test (glmLRT) was conducted for each comparison of interest. The Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR) cut-off was set at 0.05. The versions of all relevant Bioconductor packages were compatible with R v3.5.3. Heatmap depicting expression levels of the 887 unique genes listed in all GOs referring to superclusters Mitochondrial Activity & Organisation, Response to oxidative stress and oxygen levels and metabolism of
nitrogen compound, was generated using Morpheus (https://software.broadinstitute.org/morpheus/) applying the function “One plus Log2” followed by hierarchical clustering. Heatmap depicting expression of mitochondrial protein-coding genes in FSHD myotubes was generated similarly in Morpheus, which was also used to perform hierarchical clustering to evaluate the ability of the expression pattern of 13 mitochondrial genes to separate between FSHD and control transriptomes. Heatmap displaying expression of mitochondrial protein-coding genes and DUX4 target genes referring to patients of groups 1, 2, 3 and 4 (group 1 = lowest severity, group 4 = highest severity, as stratified in Ref. [62]) was generated using unfiltered CPM values from GSE115650 [62]. For each gene, the average expression value across all patients within the same disease group was calculated, and z-score transformed to normalise across all disease groups.

For pathway and gene set analysis, we used WebGStalt (www.webgestalt.org) to assess gene ontology terms that showed significant enrichment in the list of DEG (Overrepresentation Enrichment Analysis). The enrichment for each GO Biological Process (GOBP) term was considered statistically significant if the adjusted p-value (FDR) was lower than 0.05. Only the top 30 GOs are reported in Fig. 1. Cytoscape v. 3.7.2 [123] was used to visualise relevant biological networks of enriched GOBPs, together with EnrichmentMap and AutoAnnotate applications. Several layout parameters were tuned to achieve the current Cytoscape visualization.

4.2. Cell culture and myogenic differentiation

The three immortalised FSHD patient-derived cellular models were the isogenic ‘54’ series derived from the biceps of a male mosaic FSHD1 patient [124], where 54-6 (13 D4Z4 repeats) is the uncontracted control clone and 54-12 (3 D4Z4 repeats) the contracted FSHD clone; the isogenic ‘K’ (K271FSH447A) series from the tibialis anterior of a mosaic FSHD1 patient, with 44-4 (K4) the uncontracted control and 44-8 (K8) the D4Z4-contrasted FSHD clone; and the ‘16’ series, a sibling-matched immortalised model derived from biceps muscle [125], where 16A is the D4Z4 contracted FSHD line and 16U the uncontracted control line from a first-degree relative. The inducible DUX4 myoblast line (iDUX4) was LHCN-M2-iDUX, on the human LHCN-M2 myoblast background [126]. DUX4 expression was induced by doxycycline (DOX; Sigma Aldrich, Dorset, UK).

Human myoblast lines were cultured in Skeletal Muscle Cell Growth Medium (Promocell, Heidelberg, Germany) supplemented with 20% foetal bovine serum (FBS; ThermoFisher Scientific, MA, USA), 50 μg/mL fetuin (bovine), 10 ng/mL epidermal growth factor (recombinant human), 1 ng/mL basic fibroblast growth factor (recombinant human), 10 μg/mL insulin (recombinant human), 0.4 μg/mL dexamethasone (all added as PromoCell SupplementMix) and 50 μg/mL gentamycin (Sigma Aldrich) in a humidified incubator at 37 °C with 5% CO₂. Myoblast lines were kept subconfluent in routine culture, and passaged at maximum 70% confluency.

To induce differentiation, myoblasts were washed twice with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and placed in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) GlutaMax (ThermoFisher Scientific) supplemented with 0.5% FBS, 10 μg/mL recombinant human insulin (Sigma-Aldrich) and 50 μg/mL gentamycin. Where applicable, Vitamin C (L-ascorbic acid), Coenzyme Q10 (ubiquinone) or mitoTEMPO (all from Sigma Aldrich) were added to the differentiation medium at final concentrations of 20 μM for each antioxidant compound.

Cell culture under hypoxic conditions was performed in a commercially available humidified hypoxia chamber (STEMCELL technologies, Cambridge, UK) in an incubator at 37 °C. To keep O₂ tension constant, the hypoxia chamber was injected with a gas mixture of 1% O₂, 5% CO₂ and 94% N₂ (Air Liquide, London, UK) at continuous flow rate of approximately 1 L/min. For medium changes in hypoxic cultures, e.g. for RONS and hypoxia measurements or antioxidant treatment, all medium, buffer and reagent solutions were preconditioned in 1% O₂, 5% CO₂ and 94% N₂ for at least the experimental duration, or, for longer experiments in differentiation, for at least 24 h.

4.3. ROS measurements

For ROS measurements in proliferating myoblasts, 10,000 cells/well were seeded into black, clear-bottom polystyrene 96-well plates (Corning®, Sigma Aldrich) and assayed 24 h later. For measurements in hypoxia, 5000 cells/well were seeded, transferred into hypoxia the following day and assayed 24 h later, with reagents preconditioned in 1% O₂, 5% CO₂ and 94% N₂ for 24 h. To assess ROS levels in differentiated myotubes, 50,000 cells/well were seeded into black, clear-bottom polystyrene 96-well plates, and switched to differentiation 24 h later. ROS measurements were performed in myotubes after 3 days of differentiation (in normoxia or hypoxia). ROS probes used and staining conditions are detailed in Table 1.

ROS measurements were performed in Hank’s Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS) supplemented with Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ (Sigma Aldrich). Cells were washed twice with HBSS, followed by incubation with the respective fluorescent ROS probe and HOECHST33342 (0.5 μg/mL; ThermoFisher Scientific) in HBSS for 30 min in the dark. After staining, cells were washed twice with HBSS and fluorescence intensity was measured on a POLARStar Omega microplate reader (BMG Labtech, Aylesbury, UK) in orbital averaging scan mode (20 flashes per well, scan diameter 4 mm). For normalisation to cell number, ROS probe fluorescence was normalized to DNA content as simultaneously assessed via HOECHST 33342 fluorescence in the same well.

4.4. Assessment of ΔΨm and mitochondrial lipid peroxidation

ΔΨm was assessed by Tetramethylrhodamine methyl ester (TMRM; Sigma Aldrich) staining in black clear-bottom polystyrene 96-well plates. Briefly, cells were washed twice with HBSS and subsequently stained with 100 nM TMRM and 0.5 μg/mL HOECHST33342 in HBSS for 30 min at 37 °C in the dark. After staining, cells were washed twice with HBSS and fluorescence intensity was measured on a POLARStar Omega microplate reader in orbital averaging scan mode (20 flashes per well, scan diameter 4 mm). For normalisation to cell number, TMRM fluorescence was normalized to DNA content as simultaneously assessed via HOECHST 33342 in the same well.

Mitochondrial lipid peroxidation was measured with the ratiometric C11-BODIPY derivative MitoPerOx (Abcam, Cambridge, UK). For iDUX4 myoblasts in proliferation, 25,000 cells/well were seeded into black clear-bottom polystyrene 96-well plates and, after 24 h, induced to

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species (cytoplasmic ROS)</th>
<th>Probe</th>
<th>Supplier</th>
<th>Conc.</th>
<th>Staining duration</th>
<th>λ Ex/Em</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General (cytoplasmic ROS)</td>
<td>CM-H2DCFDA</td>
<td>ThermoFisher Scientific</td>
<td>5 μM</td>
<td>30 min (in HBSS)</td>
<td>485/520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mitoROS</td>
<td>MitoTracker Red CM-H2XROS</td>
<td>ThermoFisher Scientific</td>
<td>250 nM</td>
<td>30 min (in HBSS)</td>
<td>584/620</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
express DUX4 for 16 h. For measurements in iDUX4 myotubes, 50,000 cells/well were seeded and differentiation induced 24 h later. After 36 h, when myotube formation was evident, DUX4 expression was induced for 24 h with subsequent assaying. For staining, cells were washed twice with HBSS and incubated with 100 nM MitoPerOx probe in HBSS for 45 min at 37 °C in the dark. After staining, cells were washed twice with HBSS and fluorescence intensity was measured on a POLARStar Omega microplate reader in orbital averaging scan mode (20 flashes per well, scan diameter 4 mm). Mitochondrial lipid peroxidation was quantified by calculating the ratio between MitoPerOx fluorescence intensity at 365 nm/390 nm after excitation at 488 nm.

4.5. Metabolic activity measurement

Metabolic activity was measured using the luminescence RealTime-Glo™ MT Cell Viability assay (Promega, Southampton, UK) with a modified protocol. In proliferation, 5000 iDUX4 cells/well were seeded into opaque walled polystyrene 96-well plates (Corning®, Sigma Aldrich). 24 h later, DUX4 expression was induced and assay substrates were added simultaneously for longitudinal assaying for up to 16 h according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Luminescence was read with a Mithras LB940 multimode microplate reader (Berthold Technologies, Wildbad, Germany) at 0.1s exposure, and metabolic activity was calculated as the ratio between luminescent signal and DNA content, as assessed through HEOCHST33342 fluorescence from sister cultures undergoing the same treatment.

For iDUX4 myotubes, the assay was used in an end-point format. Briefly, 50,000 cells/well were seeded into opaque 96-well plates and induced to differentiate 24 h later. After 36 h, DUX4 expression was induced for 24 h (with simultaneous antioxidant supplementation where applicable) and, at the end of the experimental treatment duration, assay substrates were added according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Luminescence was read after 3 h incubation with the MT assay substrates, and metabolic activity calculated as described above.

4.6. Apoptosis and necrosis assaying

Apoptosis and Necrosis were assayed with the combined luminescence/fluorescence RealTime-Glo™ Annexin V Apoptosis and Necrosis assay (Promega), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. 5000 iDUX4 cells/well were seeded into black clear-bottom polystyrene 96-well plates. 24 h later, cells were induced to express DUX4 and assay substrates were added simultaneously for longitudinal assaying of apoptosis/necrosis for up to 24 h. Apoptosis was quantified as luminescence signal intensity, as assessed on a Mithras LB940 multimode microplate reader (0.1s exposure), and necrosis was quantified as fluorescence intensity (ex488/530 nm), as assessed on a POLARStar Omega microplate reader in orbital averaging scan mode (20 flashes per well, scan diameter 4 mm).

4.7. High resolution respirometry (HRR)

Respirometric analysis was performed on an Oroboros O2k oxygraph (Oroboros Instruments, Innsbruck, Austria). In proliferation, 4 × 10^6 viable iDUX4 myoblasts were used per experiment (after 16 h of DUX4 expression). Cell viability was assessed by trypan Blue (Sigma Aldrich) staining prior to each respirometric analysis, and oxygen consumption rate normalized to cell number. For respirometric analysis of iDUX4 myotubes, 5.86 × 10^5 cells were seeded per 75 cm^2 cell culture flasks (Nunc™, ThermoFisher Scientific), and switched to differentiation 24 h later. After 36 h, after myotubes had formed, DUX4 expression was induced for another 24 h, and measurements performed from myotubes harvested by trypsinisation. To account for differential cell/myotube amounts, DNA quantification of sister cultures undergoing the same treatment was performed by HEOCHST33342 staining, as described above, and HEOCHST33342 fluorescence subsequently used as normaliser for oxygen consumption rate.

Respiratory states were analysed through substrate-uncoupler-inhibitor-titration (SUIT) as follows: to assess Complex I- and Complex II-linked respiration, cells were incubated in a buffer containing 80 mM KCl, 5 mM KH_2PO_4, 50 mM 3-(N-morpholino)propanesulfonic acid, 1 mM ethylene glycol-bis(2-aminoethylether)-N,N,N',N'-tetracetic acid, and 1 mg/mL fatty acid-free bovine serum albumin (pH 7.4, 37 °C) [127], and permeabilized with digitonin (8 μM). State 4 respiration (LEAK) was induced either by the addition of 5 mM glutamate and 5 mM malate (complex I), 10 mM pyruvate (complex I), or 10 mM succinate in the presence of 1.4 μM rotenone (complex II). State 3 respiration (OXPHOS) was stimulated by the addition of 1 mM adenosine diphosphate. Maximum electron transfer system capacity (max. ETS) was measured by titration of carbonyl cyanide-4-(trifluoromethoxy) phenylhydrazone in steps of 0.1 μM. To calculate respiratory control ratio (RCR), State 3 respiration (OXPHOS) was divided by State 4 respiration (LEAK). Oxygen consumption rates were obtained by calculating the negative time derivative of the measured oxygen concentration.

4.8. Cellular hypoxia measurement

Cellular oxygenation was determined with the fluorescent O_2-sensitive hypoxia indicator Image-iT™ Green Hypoxia Reagent (ThermoFisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Cells seeded in black clear-bottom polystyrene 96-well plates were incubated with 5 μM Green Hypoxia Reagent in growth or differentiation medium, respectively, for 30 min at 37 °C in the dark. Subsequently, cells were washed with HBSS, treated with DOX (for DUX4 expression in iDUX4 cells) and/or antioxidants and transferred to 1% O_2, 5% CO_2 and 94% N_2 for the appropriate remaining culture period (e.g. 16 h for iDUX4 myoblasts induced to express DUX4 or 24 h for antioxidant treatment in iDUX4 or FSHD myotubes). To quantify cellular hypoxia, cells were washed twice with HBSS and hypoxia indicator fluorescence intensity (ex488/em520) measured on a POLARStar Omega microplate reader in orbital averaging scan mode (20 flashes per well, scan diameter 4 mm). Afterwards, DNA content was determined for normalisation by staining with 0.5 μg/mL HEOCHST33342 in HBSS for 30 min at 37 °C in the dark, and subsequently reading HEOCHST33342 fluorescence intensity. For visualization of Green Hypoxia Reagent fluorescence, fluorescence micrographs were taken on a Zeiss Axiovert 200 M epifluorescence microscope using a Zeiss AxioCam HRm and AxioVision 4.4 software (Zeiss, Jena, Germany).

4.9. Immunofluorescence microscopy

For immunolabelling, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde/PBS (Alfa Aesar, Heysham, UK) for 10 min, washed three times with PBS for 5 min each, then permeabilised with 0.1% Triton-X/PBS (Sigma Aldrich) for 15 min and washed three times with PBS. Blocking was performed in 5% normal goat serum (GS)/PBS (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) for 60 min, and, after three washes with PBS, cells were incubated with primary antibody in 1% GS/PBS on a rocker overnight at 4 °C. Cells were then washed three times with PBS, and incubated with secondary antibody in 1% GS/PBS for 60 min in the dark at room temperature. After three washes with PBS, nuclei were stained with 0.5 μg/mL HEOCHST33342 in PBS for 10 min, washed again with PBS and imaged on a Zeiss Axiovert 200 M epifluorescence microscope using a Zeiss AxioCam HRm and AxioVision 4.4 software.

Primary antibodies were mouse anti-MyHC (1:400; MF-20; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, IA, USA), mouse anti-DUX4 (1:500; clone 9A12; Merck Millipore, Croxley Park, UK) and rabbit anti-HIF1α (1:500; EP1215Y, Abcam). Secondary antibodies were goat anti-mouse IgG (H + L) AlexaFluor-488 (1:500, A-11001, ThermoFisher Scientific) and goat anti-rabbit IgG (H + L) AlexaFluor-594 (1:500, A-11012, ThermoFisher Scientific).
4.10. Determination of MyHC-positive area and differentiation index

Image analysis was performed from MyHC immunofluorescence micrographs with a custom-made high-throughput image analysis software, as recently described (software provided as suppl. file 1 in Ref. [52]). For determination of the MyHC-positive area, at least three fluorescence micrographs were taken per well. Briefly, the software first splits each image into separate channels (e.g. the MyHC and nuclear counterstain channels). The channel displaying MyHC is then passed through a low pass filter and a size filter is applied to remove background labelling in the binarized image. The positive proportion of the image is then quantified as MyHC-positive area. The mean MyHC-positive area per well was calculated as the average from three representative images per well. Likewise, differentiation index was automatically determined from the same images as the percentage of nuclei within the MyHC-positive area.

4.11. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism version 9.1.2 for Windows (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA; www.graphpad.com). Experiments were performed at least 3 independent times, with detailed N numbers and technical replicates given in each figure. Variance between groups was compared using a Brown–Forsythe test and revealed no significant difference. A comparison between two groups was performed using an unpaired homoscedastic two-tailed student’s t-test. A comparison of more than two groups was performed using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by either Dunnett’s post-test when different groups were compared with the control group or Tukey’s post-test when different groups were compared with each other. p < 0.05 was considered significantly different, with p values indicated for each significant comparison in the figures.
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