Mass Spectrometry and Photochemical Study of Photoisomerization and Thermal Back-isomerization of Substituted Azobenzenes anchored on Peptoids for the Chemical Storage of Solar Energy

<u>Gwendal Henrard^{1,2}</u>, Benjamin Tassignon^{1,2}, Julien De Winter¹, Jérôme Cornil², Pascal Gerbaux¹

Organic Synthesis and Mass Spectrometry laboratory¹ (S^2MOs) & Laboratory for Chemistry of Novel Materials² (CMN) University of Mons, 23 Place du Parc, B-7000 Mons – Belgium

Energy challenges: a matter of storage?



Molecular Solar Thermal systems (MOST)

To store solar energy, chemical storage appears to be a promising approach with systems known as MOST [1]. The working principle of those systems is based on iterative closed cycles of photoisomerization and back-isomerization between a parent compound and its metastable isomer (*Figure 1*). Energy is stored within the metastable isomer which possesses a certain half-life time and thermal energy is released during the thermal back-isomerization process [2] [3].

Azobenzenes with their $E \rightarrow Z$ photoisomerization are the molecular studied widely among most photoswitches and appear as good candidates. However, properties such as the storage enthalpy, spectroscopic properties and the half-life time need to be improved.

Charging process - Photoisomerization



Improving azobenzene MOST properties: our strategy









Spectroscopic analyzes: UV-Vis

b) 1,4 7

a)

UV-Vis spectra were recorded on a Perkin Elmer Lambda 35 UV/Vis spectrophotometer. Solutions (C = 5.10^{-5} M) were prepared in the dark in MeOH HPLC grade.

Photoisomerization experiments: LC-MS quantification of PSD

Peptoid solutions were irradiated with a Cosmedico Arimed B6 UV lamp (ca. 290-350 nm) to induce azobenzene isomerization. After different irradiation times, solutions were analyzed by liquid chromatography (Waters Alliance 2695) coupled to mass spectrometry (Waters QToF Premier, ESI (+)) in order to discriminate stereoisomers and to quantify the photostationnary distribution (PSD).



Figure 4. a) UV-Vis spectrum of azobenzene [8]. b) Typical UV-Vis spectra of peptoids.

Molecule	Solvent	π-π* band (nm)	n-π* band (nm)	ε _{325 nm} (10 ⁴ L.mol ⁻¹ .cm ⁻¹)
Azobenzene	MeOH	325	440	~2
Peptoid 1	MeOH	325	440	1.9
Peptoid 2	MeOH	325	440	4.4
Peptoid 3	MeOH	325	431	1.9
Peptoid 3	MeOH	325	434	4.4



Figure 5. HPLC-MS analyzes of peptoids 1-4 after 2 hours of UV irradiation.

- Peptoids 1 and 2 : Z-isomer ~40 % in PSD
- Peptoids 3 and 4 : Z-isomer ~48 % in PSD

$Z \rightarrow E$ thermal retro-isomerization kinetics

Once the photostationnary state of a peptoid solution is reached, the solution remains in the LC autosampler where it stands at controled temperature and is protected from ambient light. This allows determinating the Z \rightarrow E thermal retro-isomerization rate constant by measuring the overtime evolution of Z isomers.

Molecule	Rate constant k (20°C) (10 ⁻⁶ s ⁻¹)	t _{1/2 20°C} (hours)
Peptoid 1	3.85	50
Peptoid 2	2.03	95
Peptoid 3	3.27	59
	1.0.1	0.0

• π - π * band and n- π * band unchanged

Molar absorptivity > 2X for peptoids 3 and 4 Interaction between chromophores



Peptoid 4 1.94 **99**

- $t_{1/2}$ peptoids 1 and 3 < $t_{1/2}$ peptoids 2 and 4 Interaction between chromophores
- Ester Substitution barely increases $t_{1/2}$

Conclusions

With this work combining synthesis and photochemical characterization, we demonstrated that peptoids can be a good template to improve MOST properties of azobenzene. Indeed, by comparing peptoids containing one or two azobenzenes, we observed improvements of two key MOST parameters, *i.e.* molar absorptivity and half-life time. This suggests that interactions occur between the chromophores anchored on the peptoid backbone. Ester substitution of the azobenzene impact barely the MOST properties. Therefore, ester hydrolysis is the next step to complete.

Acknowledgements

The S2MOs lab thanks the FRS-FNRS for the financial support in the frame of the PDR project T.0095.22. G.H. thanks the FRS-FNRS for his PhD grant.

References

[1] T.J. Kucharski, Y. Tian, S. Akbulatov, R. Boulatov, Energy Environ. Sci. 2011, 4, 4449–4472. [2] A. Lennartson, A. Roffey, K. Moth-Poulsen, Tetrahedron Lett. 2015, 56, 1457–1465. [3] L. Dong, Y. Feng, L. Wang, W. Feng, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2018, 47, 7339–7368. [4] D. Zhitomirsky, E. Cho, J.C. Grossman, Adv. Energy Mater. 2016, 6, 1–8 [5] N.H. Shah, K. Kirshenbaum, Org. Biomol. Chem, 2008, 6, 2516–2521. [6] R. Zuckermann, J. Kerr, S. Kent, W. Moos, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114 (6), 10646–10647 [7] A.S. Knight, E.Y. Zhou, M.B. Francis, R.N. Zuckermann, Adv. Mater. 2015, 27, 5665–5691. [8] A.A. Beharry, G.A Woolley, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 4422–4437.





35 -

30

Ν

s 25 -20 -20 -15 -



