Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



American Journal of Otolaryngology–Head and Neck Medicine and Surgery

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/amjoto

Peri-tumoral infiltrate in OSCC: "The simpler, the better" temptation

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords Oral squamous cell carcinoma Peri-tumoral inflammatory infiltrate Human papillomavirus Survival Prognosis

Dear Editor,

Our esteemed colleagues Ai et al. published a study entitled "Peritumoral infiltrate associates with postoperative prognosis of patients with OSCC: Stronger association in HPV negative patients [1]". The authors investigated the density in inflammatory cells on hematoxylineosin-stained (HES) slides from paraffin-embedded tumor specimens of oral squamous cells carcinomas (OSCC). They distinguished human papillomavirus (HPV)-associated tumors by detecting the p16 protein by immunohistochemistry. They concluded that peri-tumoral infiltrate (PTI) (present vs absent) was associated with a dissemination of tumor cells in lymph nodes and paradoxically that a moderate PTI was associated to a better overall survival (OS) in HPV-independant patients only. These results seem to contradict each other and raise more questions than they answer. They suggested that PTI was better assessed with their four-grades score that permitted to find an association between moderate PTI and a better OS. According to them, moderate PTI should be in the middle range to avoid the drawbacks of insufficient (no PTI or low PTI) or excessive (excess in immunosuppressive factors production) interactions between tumor and inflammatory cells. According to us, this assessment is too far from a precise description of the tumoral microenvironment and data from the literature are too heterogeneous [2-4] to be other than a mere conjecture. Several limitations should be highlighted with regard to the state of the art. No distinction is made between the different immune cells considered as constituting PTI. It is generally accepted that CD8⁺T cells and especially resident memory cells are associated with a good prognosis [5], while macrophages, especially M2 type (CD163+), are correlated with a poor prognosis [6]. The differences in the ratio of these immune cells cannot be defined by the simple measurement of PTI by HES. Besides, the score used by the authors corresponds to a double reading quantitative assessment and classification in four categories on the basis of a direct examination under the optical microscope. This score has not been the subject of a previous methodological validation. Finally, detection of p16 protein is not the most specific method for assessing HPV involvement and confirmation PCR analysis is required as about 10 % of p16 positive specimens revealed to be HPV negative in PCR [7].

Moreover, this valuable contribution in the immune understanding

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjoto.2022.103666 Received 7 July 2022 Available online 17 October 2022 0196-0709/© 2022 Published by Elsevier Inc. of the Head and Neck Squamous cells tumors reflected a tendency towards increasing dichotomy that should be considered with attention. Since Dunn et al.'s work in 2002 and their description of the concept of immunoediting [8], research in cancer immunology has progressed considerably and gained insight into interactions and effectors in the tumor microenvironment. Head and neck cancers have been affected by this movement and immunotherapy has emerged as a treatment for these diseases based on immune checkpoint PDL1 inhibitors [9]. Nevertheless, the search for immune prognostic elements that will allow to define the best therapeutic strategy is still a current imperative. On the one hand, there is a tendency towards the use of increasingly sophisticated, innovative, expensive and advanced techniques such as mass spectrometry, metabolomics, molecular studies, gene sequencing, tumor infiltrating T-cells RNA sequencing [10] or in situ analysis of the tumor microenvironment by multiplex imaging. At present, no markers other than the TPS and CPS scores have been developed based on the assessment of PDL-1 expression by means of immunostaining in the tumor and its stroma [11]. On the other hand, the research is moving towards much simpler markers, such as neutrophils to lymphocytes ratio analysis [12] or, here, visual appreciation of the peri-tumor infiltrate on HES staining slides by a couple of cytologists. An extreme change in depth of field thus characterizes the immune assessment of cancers. But let's not fool ourselves. If the description of these distant photographs of tumor immunity sustains publications that repeat and decline the association between inflammation and tumor progression, they do not make it possible to implement therapeutic strategies or to glimpse real possibilities for patient selection. Only a much finer understanding of the tumor microenvironment really opens up a field of therapeutic possibilities. Such research is slow, costly in time, money and energy. Please, dear editor, consider this text as an appeal to the esteemed authors of this work and other potential ones to complete and extend their analysis of tumor microenvironment at the cellular and molecular levels.

Declaration of competing interest

None.





Acknowledgments

None.

References

- Ai D, Dou Y, Ma C, Nan Z, Wang K, Dong Z, et al. Peri-tumoral infiltrate associates with postoperative prognosis of patients with OSCC: stronger association in HPV negative patients. Am. J. Otolaryngol. 2022;43:103503.
- [2] Yu P, Wang W, Zhuang Z, Xie N, Xu J, Wang C, et al. A novel prognostic model for tongue squamous cell carcinoma based on the characteristics of tumour and its microenvironment: iBD score. Histopathology 2019;74:766–79.
- [3] RCM Mamede, Saggioro FP, Affonso VR, Montoro JRde MC, de Souza L, de Freitas LCC. Peritumoral infiltrate in the prognosis of epidermoid carcinoma of the oral cavity, Braz. J. Otorhinolaryngol. 2015;81:416–21.
- [4] Campisi G, Calvino F, Carinci F, Matranga D, Carella M, Mazzotta M, et al. Peritumoral inflammatory cell infiltration in OSCC: a reliable marker of local recurrence and prognosis? an investigation using artificial neural networks. Int. J. Immunopathol. Pharmacol. 2011;24:113–20.
- [5] Hewavisenti R, Ferguson A, Wang K, Jones D, Gebhardt T, Edwards J, et al. CD103 + tumor-resident CD8+ T cell numbers underlie improved patient survival in oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma. J. Immunother. Cancer. 2020;8:e000452.
- [6] Troiano G, Caponio VCA, Adipietro I, Tepedino M, Santoro R, Laino L, et al. Prognostic significance of CD68+ and CD163+ tumor associated macrophages in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Oral Oncol. 2019;93:66–75.
- [7] Ndiaye C, Mena M, Alemany L, Arbyn M, Castellsagué X, Laporte L, et al. HPV DNA, E6/E7 mRNA, and p16INK4a detection in head and neck cancers: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Oncol. 2014;15:1319–31.
- [8] Dunn GP, Bruce AT, Ikeda H, Old LJ, Schreiber RD. Cancer immunoediting: from immunosurveillance to tumor escape. Nat. Immunol. 2002;3:991–8.
- [9] Ferris RL, Blumenschein G, Fayette J, Guigay J, Colevas AD, Licitra L, et al. Nivolumab for recurrent squamous-cell carcinoma of the head and neck. N.Engl. J. Med. 2016;375:1856–67.
- [10] Zheng L, Qin S, Si W, Wang A, Xing B, Gao R, et al. Pan-cancer single-cell landscape of tumor-infiltrating T cells. Science 2021;374:abe6474.

American Journal of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Medicine and Surgery 44 (2023) 103666

- [11] Haddad RI, Seiwert TY, Chow LQM, Gupta S, Weiss J, Gluck I, et al. Influence of tumor mutational burden, inflammatory gene expression profile, and PD-L1 expression on response to pembrolizumab in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. J Immunother Cancer 2022;10:e003026.
- [12] Takenaka Y, Oya R, Takemoto N, Inohara H. Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio as a prognostic marker for head and neck squamous cell carcinoma treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors: meta-analysis. Head Neck 2022;44(5):1237–45.

Robin Baudouin^{a,b,*}, Cecile Badoual^{b,c}, Jérôme R. Lechien^{a,d,e,f}, Eric Tartour^{b,g}, Stéphane Hans^a

^a Department of Otolaryngology-Head & Neck Surgery, Foch Hospital, School of Medicine, UFR Simone Veil, Université Versailles Saint-Quentinen-Yvelines (Paris Saclay University), Paris, France

^b INSERM U970, Université Paris Cité, Paris, France

^c Hôpital européen Georges Pompidou, Service d'anatomopathologie, 20, Rue Leblanc, 75015 Paris, France

^d Department of Otolaryngology, Elsan Polyclinic of Poitiers, Poitiers, France ^e Department of Human Anatomy and Experimental Oncology, Faculty of Medicine, UMONS Research Institute for Health Sciences and Technology, University of Mons (UMons), Mons, Belgium

^f Division of Laryngology & Broncho-esophagology, EpiCURA Hospital, Baudour, Belgium

⁸ Hôpital européen Georges Pompidou, Service d'Immunologie biologique, 20, Rue Leblanc, 75015 Paris, France

* Corresponding author at: Department of Otolaryngology-Head & Neck Surgery, Foch Hospital, School of Medicine, UFR Simone Veil, Université Versailles Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines (Université Paris Saclay), France.

E-mail address: r.baudouin@hopital-foch.com (R. Baudouin).