









Policy Brief

Author-formatted document posted on 06/11/2023

Published in a RIO article collection by decision of the collection editors.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3897/arphapreprints.e115172>

The impacts of multiple stressors on managed bees: Novel insights from the PoshBee project

 Mark Brown,  Matthias Albrecht,  Pilar De la Rúa,  Sara Hellström,  Alexandra-Maria Klein,  Denis Michez,  Francesco Nazzi, Robert Paxton, Edward Straw, Dimitry Wintermantel,  Simon Potts



AUTHORS*

Brown M.J.F., Albrecht M., De la Rúa P., Hellström S., Klein A-M., Michez D., Nazzi F., Paxton R.J., Straw E.A., Wintermantel D. & Potts S.G.

The impacts of multiple stressors on managed bees:

Novel insights from the PoshBee project

POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

In order to properly understand the risks to managed bees in a real-world context, risk assessments and new research should:

- Implement a systems-based risk assessment approach;
- Develop and apply a post-approval monitoring system to track real impacts of use;
- Consider a greater variety of representative species and sub-species, life history stages, sexes and castes;
- Include field realistic exposure to a wide range of chemical, disease and nutritional stressors, both individually and in combination, and assess a wide range of commonly used and emerging pesticides;
- Routinely adopt more semi-field and field assessments in addition to laboratory studies, including a wide range of forage crops;
- Investigate a broader set of sublethal impacts (measured end-points), ranging from molecular to individual, colony and population effects.

EU and Member State policies aiming to protect and enhance managed bees and wild pollinator health should fully take account of multiple stressors:

- European Food Safety Authority: Risk assessments should use a systems approach, and incorporate post-approval monitoring.
- Common Agricultural Policy Strategic Plans: Specific measures to protect pollinators, and wider biodiversity, should be further developed to mitigate

risks from pesticides exposure, such as providing flower-rich habitats.

- Implementation of Pesticides Directive National Action Plans: The risks posed by multiple interacting agrochemicals and the interactions between agrochemicals and other stressors should be recognised and embedded in national plans.
- Biodiversity policies: (Nature Restoration Law, Biodiversity Strategy, EU Pollinators Initiative) should ensure that habitat creation/restoration actions provide a diversity of high quality floral resources throughout the pollinator flight season.
- National Beekeeping Policies: Support should be provided for training beekeepers in improved husbandry to identify and deal with threats from pathogens interacting with pesticide and/or nutritional stress, and also enhanced monitoring for a range of established and emerging pests and diseases.



* Multiple additional authors contributed to the underpinning science and details can be found in the relevant Deliverables.



KEY TERMS FOR BEES AND STRESSORS

Bee species:

Honey bees: western honey bee, *Apis mellifera*

Bumblebees: managed *Bombus terrestris*

Solitary bees: refers to mason bees, e.g.

Osmia bicornis or *Osmia cornuta*

Agrochemicals^{*}:

Sulfoxaflor and **Flupyradifurone** are insecticides

Azoxystrobin and **Boscalid** are fungicides

Glyphosate is a herbicide

Coumaphos is an acaricide

Causes of diseases:

Crithidia bombi and *C. mellificae* are trypanosomatids gut pathogens of bumblebees and honey bees respectively

Varroa destructor is a parasitic mite found in honey bee hives

Paenibacillus larvae is a bacterial pathogen of honey bees

Nosema ceranae is a microsporidium pathogen of honey bees

^{*} Chemical treatments were sometimes commercial formulations, and thus these treatments will have included co-formulants; for instance, some evidence suggests that a co-formulant may explain azoxystrobin-based formulation impacts. Details are available in the relevant Deliverables.

KEY MESSAGES



Managed bees and other pollinators are exposed to a wide variety of stressors and these often act in combination. Historically, most risk assessments and research have focused on the impacts of individual stressors on **honey bees**. However, there is broad scientific consensus that there is a need for a systems-based risk assessment approach and a post-approval monitoring system. This should consider: multiple interacting stressors; a variety of pollinator species (including different subspecies, sexes, castes and life history stages); field studies as well as laboratory studies; and a diversity of end-points (molecular, physiological, behavioural, developmental, reproductive and colony health).



The PoshBee project has made a significant step change in our understanding of how the interactions between agrochemicals, pathogens and nutrition impact bees, including:

- The impacts of combined stressors sometimes, but not always, exceed the sum of the stressors' impact when they are considered in isolation.
- There are often differences in the way that species and sub-species sexes, castes, and life stages within a species, respond to the same set of stressors.
- Laboratory studies and semi-field experiments do not always reach the same conclusions, for a given combination of stressors and target species.

NOVEL INSIGHTS PROVIDED BY POSHBEES INCLUDE:

Chemical x nutrition interactions:

High quality and diverse floral resources can reduce the negative effects of pesticides (e.g. **sulfoxaflor** and **azoxystrobin**) on **honey bees** and **bumblebees** in both laboratory and field experiments. Negative pesticide impacts on solitary bees were not mitigated by increased pollen diversity during development, but increased nutritional quality of forage improved solitary bee survival and performance in the semi-field.

Chemical x pathogen interactions:

There is no synergistic effect of pesticides (**sulfoxaflor**, **glyphosate**, **azoxystrobin** or **flupyradifurone**) and a gut pathogen (*Crithidia*) on **bumblebees** or **solitary bees**. Similarly for **honey bee** health, there are no interactions between these pesticides and pathogens, though there are a few exceptions (e.g. **azoxystrobin** with *Paenibacillus* bacteria, and **sulfoxaflor** in combination with *Nosema ceranae*).

Chemical x chemical interactions:

Laboratory exposure to some individual chemicals (e.g. **sulfoxaflor**, **boscalid**, **glyphosate**) can reduce **bumblebee** foraging/feeding performance, but there is no synergistic effect of exposure to these specific pairs of pesticides. In semi-field experiments, there are no synergistic impacts of **sulfoxaflor** in combination with **azoxystrobin** on **bumblebees**, **solitary bees** or **honey bees**. In a field study, multiple pesticides are associated with reduced **bumblebee** colony performance.

MAIN FINDINGS OF THE POSH BEE PROJECT

Chemical x Nutrition interactions:

- In laboratory studies of **bumblebee** workers, the interaction between nutritional and chemical stress was variable. **Azoxystrobin** and **glyphosate** had no impact on development and pollen intake. In contrast, **sulfoxaflor** negatively affected both development and pollen collection, but a high-quality pollen diet reduced the negative effects of **sulfoxaflor** exposure. More information [here](#).
- Sulfoxaflor reduced survival, cocoon weight, and pollen consumption, and increased development time of **solitary bee** larvae in the laboratory. When larvae were fed more diverse pollen diets, these negative effects were not mitigated. More information [here](#).
- In laboratory studies, a high-quality pollen diet fed to **honey bee** workers reduced the toxic effects of field realistic concentrations of **azoxystrobin** and **sulfoxaflor**. More information [here](#).
- Preliminary results of field experiments in Germany (vineyards) and Spain (almond orchards) did not indicate negative impacts of **glyphosate** on a wide range of reproductive parameters of **honey bees**, **bumblebees** and **solitary bees** in fields with both high and low floral nectar resources. More information [here](#).
- In semi-field experiments, there are negative synergistic impacts of **flupyradifurone** and food stress on offspring production and foraging behaviours of **solitary bees**, but no effect on **bumblebees** or **honey bees**. **Azoxystrobin** reduced colony growth of **bumblebees** when they were exposed to low quality floral forage. More information [here](#).

Chemical x Pathogen interactions

- Under laboratory conditions, the **bumblebee** pathogen, *Crithidia bombi*, did not interact with **sulfoxaflor**, **glyphosate** or **azoxystrobin**, and there were no effects on survival or parasite loads of workers and males, learning in workers, or hibernation and colony foundation in queens. Further, colonies exposed to **sulfoxaflor** and *C. bombi* showed no difference in foraging behaviour or pollination of field beans in semi-field conditions. More information [here](#) and [here](#).

- In **solitary bees**, neither **flupyradifurone** nor **glyphosate** caused an increase in *Crithidia mellificae*, or increased mortality, in the laboratory. In the semi-field condition, there were negative effects of the pathogen on survival, but no interaction between chemical and pathogen in terms of foraging and nesting behaviour, and reproductive success. More information [here](#).
- In the laboratory, the combined impacts of chemicals and pathogens on **honey bees** was dependent upon the particular stressor and endpoint considered.
 - *Varroa* mites in combination with **azoxystrobin**, **sulfoxaflor** or **coumaphos** had no effect on **honey bee** larvae and adults. However, **sulfoxaflor** and **coumaphos** together did have significant negative impacts on both larval and adult mortality. More information [here](#).
 - *Paenibacillus larvae* in combination with **azoxystrobin** had a negative effect on larval mortality, but no effect in combination with **sulfoxaflor** or **glyphosate**. More information [here](#).
 - *Nosema ceranae* in combination with **azoxystrobin**, **sulfoxaflor** or **glyphosate** had no impact on food intake or the expression of immune and detoxification genes in worker **honey bees**, or on queen or drone survival. More information [here](#).



Chemical x Chemical interactions

- In the laboratory, **bumblebee** workers exposed to **boscalid** consumed less nectar, but there was no effect of **glyphosate**, and no synergistic effect of exposure to both pesticides together. More information [here](#).
- For **solitary bees**, nectar consumption was reduced when they were exposed to **sulfoxaflor**, but there was no effect of **azoxystrobin**. There was no synergistic interaction between the two pesticides; the interaction was antagonistic. More information [here](#).
- In semi-field experiments, **sulfoxaflor** or **azoxystrobin** on their own reduced **bumblebee** foraging performance; but neither had impacts on **honey bees** or **solitary bees**. There was no synergistic interactive impact of the two chemicals on any bee species. More information [here](#).
- In a European field study, multiple pesticides found in **bumblebee**-collected pollen are associated with reduced colony performance, especially in simplified landscapes with intensive agricultural practices. More information [here](#).



RESOURCES

- Barascou L., Sene D., Barraud A., Michez D., Lefebvre V., Medrzycki P., Di Prisco G., Strobl V., Yañez O., Neumann P., Le Conte Y., Alaux C. 2021. Pollen nutrition fosters honeybee resistance to pesticides. *Royal Society Open Science*, 8: 210818
- Barraud A., Askri D., Barascou L., Schwartz J.M., Toktas Y., Nicodème L., Alaux C., Albrecht M., Arafah K., Bulet P., Vanderplanck M., Michez D. Multiple threats to face: the diet – pesticide interaction in bumblebees. *Science of Total Environment*, submitted
- Breda D., Frizzera D., Giordano G. et al. 2022. A deeper understanding of system interactions can explain contradictory field results on pesticide impact on honey bees. *Nat Communications*, 13: 5720
- Knauer A.C. et al. 2022. Nutritional stress exacerbates impact of a novel insecticide on solitary bees' behaviour, reproduction and survival. *Proc. R. Soc. B*, 289: 20221013
- Nicholson C.C., et al. 2023. Pesticide use negatively affects bumble bees across European landscapes. *Nature* in press
- Schwarz J.M., Knauer A.C., Barraud A., Michez D., Barascou L., Dievart V., Alaux C., Ghazoul J., Albrecht M. Diverse pollen nutrition can improve the development of solitary bees but does not mitigate negative pesticide impacts. *Science of Total Environment*, submitted
- Tamburini G., Pereira-Peixoto M.-H., Borth J., Lotz S., Wintermantel D., Allan M.J., Dean R., Schwarz J.M., Knauer A., Albrecht M., Klein A.M. 2021. Fungicide and insecticide exposure adversely impacts bumblebees and pollination services under semi-field conditions. *Environment International*, 157:106813.
- Tamburini G., Wintermantel D., Allan M.J., Dean R.R., Knauer A., Albrecht M., Klein A.M. 2021. Sulfoxaflor insecticide and azoxystrobin fungicide have no major impact on honeybees in a realistic-exposure semi-field experiment. *Science of the Total Environment*, 778:146084.
- Urueña A., Blasco-Lavilla N., De la Rúa P. 2023. Sulfoxaflor effects depend on the interaction with other pesticides and *Nosema ceranae* infection in the honey bee (*Apis mellifera*). *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 264: 115427
- Wintermantel D., Pereira-Peixoto, M.-H., Warth, N., Melcher, K., Faller, M., Feurer, J. Allan, M.J., Dean, R., Tamburini, G., Knauer, A. C., Schwarz, J.M., Albrecht, M., Klein, A-M Klein. 2022. Flowering resources modulate the sensitivity of bumblebees to a common fungicide. *Science of The Total Environment*, 829:154450

Access all of PoshBee's deliverables and papers by scanning the QR code.

