

Monte Carlo Simulations of the T_2 relaxation induced by cubic-

shaped superparamagnetic nanoparticles

Florent Fritsche¹, Gilles Rosolen², Alice De Corte², Bjorn Maes², Yves Gossuin¹, and Quoc Lam Vuong¹ ¹Biomedical Physics Unit, UMONS, 25 Avenue Maistriau, 7000 Mons, Belgium ²Micro-and Nanophotonic Materials Group, UMONS, 25 Avenue Maistriau, 7000 Mons, Belgium

I. Introduction and research context

The transverse relaxation (T_2) of water protons induced by cubic-shaped superparamagnetic nanoparticles (NP), used as negative contrast agents in MRI, has been studied with Monte Carlo simulations considering a high static magnetic field (B_0) . The comparison between spherical and cubic-shaped nanoparticles, at equal volumes, revealed minor deviations in the transverse relaxation (T_2) within the Motional Average Regime [d < 30nm] whereas no deviation was observed for larger particles. Magnetic Field Analysis of both cubic and spherical

shaped Np's correlates with simulations results.

II. Monte Carlo Simulation Methodology II. a. Simulation Setup [1] II. b. Bell Curve Cubic - Spherical Relative Difference Cubic Magnetite NP $\overline{\mathbf{B}}_{\mathbf{0}}$ **17/1** 15.0 **11/1** 12.5 **0** 10 000 Water Protons **SDR** PRR MAR **SDR** PRR $\hat{\mu}_j$ Static magnetic field **B**₀ വ Random walk diffusion \sim Diffe 11 $(D = 3.10^{-9} \text{ m}^2 \text{s}^{-1})$ 5.0 Selective Rate $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{\mu}_{k}}$ Periodic boundaries MAR **CPMG Sequence** Relaxation $(T_{\rm E} = 1 \, {\rm ms})$ Particle diameter [nm] Constant volume Increase in $1/T_2$ in the MAR for cubes Motional Average Regime (MAR) fraction (f = $3.14 \ 10^{-6}$) Static Dephasing Regime (SDR) Up to 15% for 10 nm cubes Partial Refocusing Regime (PRR) Magnetic field analysis Larmor Precession of **Spherical Particle** $SDR.[1/T_2 \propto p(B_z)][2]$ 10^{1} Cubic Particle proton spins around PRR. $\left[1/T_2 \propto \sigma^2 = 1/V \int |\vec{\nabla}B_z|^2 dV\right]$ [3] 10^{2} 10^{1} cubic B_z magnetic field MAR. $[1/T_2 \propto \langle B_z^2 \rangle]$ [Redfield] Particle diameter [nm]

III. Magnetic Field Analysis



IV. Summary and Future Directions

- Monte Carlo Simulations demonstrate that the NP shape has little to no impact on T₂ for particles larger than 30 nm. However, an increase
 of up to 15% is observed for small particles below 30 nm within the Motional Average regime.
- The magnetic field analysis correlates with simulation results and provides insight into why differences are observed only in the MAR.
- Future studies will focus on other shapes, starting by cylinder-shaped particles which are believed to strongly impact $1/T_2$.
- Introduction of multiple nanoparticles into the simulation will provide a more accurate representation of the non-uniformity in solutions.
- Vuong, Q. L., Gillis, P., Roch, A., & Gossuin, Y. "Magnetic resonance relaxation induced by superparamagnetic resonance imaging: a theoretical review". WIREs Nanomedicine and Nanobiotechnology, 2017, Vol. 9, Issue 6. doi.org/10.1002/wnan.1468
- Brown, R. J. S. "Distribution of Fields from Randomly Placed Dipoles: Free-Precession Signal Decay as Result of Magnetic Grains". Physical Review, 1961, Vol. 121, Issue 5, pp. 1379–1382. doi.org/10.1103/physrev.121.1379
- Majumdar, S., & Gore, J. C. "Studies of diffusion in random fields produced by variations in susceptibility". Journal of Magnetic Resonance, 1987, Vol. 78, Issue 1, pp. 41–55. doi.org/10.1016/0022-2364(88)90155-2
- Engel-Herbert, R., & Hesjedal, T. "Calculation of the Magnetic Stray Field of a Uniaxial Magnetic Domain". Journal of Applied Physics, 2005, Vol. 97, Issue 7. doi.org/10.1063/1.1883308

University of Mons

Biomedical Physics Unit – 25 Avenue Maistriau 7000 Mons – Pr. Yves Gossuin Florent.Fritsche@umons.ac.be