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## Aim of this talk

Multi-constraint percentile queries: generalizes the problem to multiple dimensions, multiple constraints.
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## Illustration: stochastic shortest path problem



Two-dimensional weights on actions: time and cost.
Payoff: sum of weights up to work.
Often necessary to consider trade-offs: e.g., between the probability to reach work in due time and the risks of an expensive journey.
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## Single-constraint percentile problem

Given MDP $M$, initial state $s_{\text {init }}$, one-dimension payoff function $f$, value threshold $v \in \mathbb{Q}$, and probability threshold $\alpha \in[0,1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$, decide if there exists a strategy $\sigma$ such that $\mathbb{P}_{M, \text { sinit }}^{\sigma}[f \geq v] \geq \alpha$.
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## Illustration: stochastic shortest path problem



- C1: $80 \%$ of runs reach work in at most 40 minutes.

■ C2: $50 \%$ of them cost at most $10 \$$ to reach work.

## Study of multi-constraint percentile queries.

$\triangleright$ Sample strategy: bus once, then taxi. Requires memory.
$\triangleright$ Another strategy: bus with probability $3 / 5$, taxi with probability $2 / 5$. Requires randomness.

## Illustration: stochastic shortest path problem



- C1: $80 \%$ of runs reach work in at most 40 minutes.

■ C2: $50 \%$ of them cost at most $10 \$$ to reach work.

## Study of multi-constraint percentile queries.

In general, both memory and randomness are required.
$\neq$ classical problems (single constraint, expected value, etc)

## Multi-constraint percentile problem

## Multi-constraint percentile problem

Given $d$-dimensional MDP $M$, initial state $s_{\text {init }}$, payoff function $f$, and $q \in \mathbb{N}$ percentile constraints described by dimensions $l_{i} \in\{1, \ldots, d\}$, value thresholds $v_{i} \in \mathbb{Q}$ and probability thresholds $\alpha_{i} \in[0,1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$, where $i \in\{1, \ldots, q\}$, decide if there exists a strategy $\sigma$ such that query $\mathcal{Q}$ holds, with

$$
\mathcal{Q}:=\bigwedge_{i=1}^{q} \mathbb{P}_{M, s_{\text {init }}}^{\sigma}\left[f_{l_{i}} \geq v_{i}\right] \geq \alpha_{i}
$$

Very general framework allowing for: multiple constraints related to $\neq$ or $=$ dimensions, $\neq$ value and probability thresholds.
$\leadsto$ For SP, even $\neq$ targets for each constraint.
$\leadsto$ Great flexibility in modeling applications.

## Results overview (1/2)

- Wide range of payoff functions
$\triangleright$ multiple reachability,
$\triangleright$ inf, sup, liminf, limsup,
$\triangleright$ mean-payoff ( $\overline{\mathrm{MP}}, \underline{\mathrm{MP}}$ ),
$\triangleright$ shortest path (SP),
$\triangleright$ discounted sum (DS).
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## Results overview (2/2)

|  | Single-constraint | Single-dim. <br> Multi-constraint | Multi-dim. <br> Multi-constraint |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reachability | P [Put94] | $\mathrm{P}(M) \cdot \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{Q})$ [EKVY08], PSPACE-h | - |
| $f \in \mathcal{F}$ | P [CH09] | P | $\mathrm{P}(M) \cdot \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{Q})$ <br> PSPACE-h. |
| $\overline{\mathrm{MP}}$ | P [Put94] | P | P |
| MP | P [Put94] | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{M}) \cdot \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{Q})$ | $\mathrm{P}(M) \cdot \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{Q})$ |
| SP | $\mathrm{P}(M) \cdot \mathrm{P}_{p s}(\mathcal{Q})[\mathrm{HK} 15]$ PSPACE-h. [HK15] | $\mathrm{P}(M) \cdot \mathrm{P}_{p s}(\mathcal{Q})$ (one target) PSPACE-h. [HK15] | $\mathrm{P}(M) \cdot \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{Q})$ <br> PSPACE-h. [HK15] |
| $\varepsilon$-gap DS | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{P}_{p s}(M, \mathcal{Q}, \varepsilon) \\ \text { NP-h. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{P}_{p s}(M, \varepsilon) \cdot \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{Q}) \\ \text { NP-h. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{P}_{p s}(M, \varepsilon) \cdot \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{Q}) \\ & \text { PSPACE-h. } \end{aligned}$ |

$\triangleright \mathcal{F}=\{$ inf, sup, lim inf, lim sup $\}$
$\triangleright M=$ model size, $\mathcal{Q}=$ query size
$\triangleright \mathrm{P}(x), \mathrm{E}(x)$ and $\mathrm{P}_{p s}(x)$ resp. denote polynomial, exponential and pseudo-polynomial time in parameter $x$.

All results without reference are new.
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Thank you! Any question?
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## Markov decision processes



- MDP $M=(S, A, \delta, w)$
$\triangleright$ finite sets of states $S$ and actions $A$ $\triangleright$ probabilistic transition $\delta: S \times A \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(S)$
$\triangleright$ weight function $w: A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{d}$
■ Run (or play): $\rho=s_{1} a_{1} \ldots a_{n-1} s_{n} \ldots$ such that $\delta\left(s_{i}, a_{i}, s_{i+1}\right)>0$ for all $i \geq 1$
$\triangleright$ set of runs $\mathcal{R}(M)$
$\triangleright$ set of histories (finite runs) $\mathcal{H}(M)$
- Strategy $\sigma: \mathcal{H}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(A)$
$\triangleright \forall h$ ending in $s, \operatorname{Supp}(\sigma(h)) \in A(s)$


## Markov decision processes



Sample pure memoryless strategy $\sigma$
Sample run $\rho=s_{1} a_{1} s_{2} a_{2} s_{1} a_{1} s_{2} a_{2}\left(s_{3} a_{3} s_{4} a_{4}\right)^{\omega}$
Other possible run $\rho^{\prime}=s_{1} a_{1} s_{2} a_{2}\left(s_{3} a_{3} s_{4} a_{4}\right)^{\omega}$

- Strategies may use
$\triangleright$ finite or infinite memory
$\triangleright$ randomness
- Payoff functions map runs to numerical values
$\triangleright$ truncated sum up to $T=\left\{s_{3}\right\}$ : $\operatorname{TS}^{T}(\rho)=2, \operatorname{TS}^{T}\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)=1$
$\triangleright$ mean-payoff: $\underline{\mathrm{MP}}(\rho)=\underline{\mathrm{MP}}\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)=1 / 2$
$\triangleright$ many more
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Once initial state $s_{\text {init }}$ and strategy $\sigma$ fixed, fully stochastic process
~ Markov chain (MC)
State space $=$ product of the MDP and the memory of $\sigma$

■ Event $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{R}(M)$
$\triangleright$ probability $\mathbb{P}_{M, s_{\text {int }}}^{\sigma}(\mathcal{E})$
■ Measurable $f: \mathcal{R}(M) \rightarrow(\mathbb{R} \cup\{-\infty, \infty\})^{d}$ $\triangleright$ expected value $\mathbb{E}_{M, \text { sinit }}^{\sigma}(f)$
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No time to discuss every result!
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|  | Single-constraint | Single-dim. <br> Multi-constraint | Multi-dim. <br> Multi-constraint |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reachability | P [Put94] | $\mathrm{P}(M) \cdot \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{Q})$ [EKVY08], PSPACE-h | - |
| $f \in \mathcal{F}$ | P [CH09] | P | $\mathrm{P}(M) \cdot \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{Q})$ <br> PSPACE-h. |
| $\overline{\mathrm{MP}}$ | P [Put94] | P | P |
| MP | P [Put94] | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{M}) \cdot \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{Q})$ | $\mathrm{P}(M) \cdot \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{Q})$ |
| SP | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{P}(M) \cdot \mathrm{P}_{p s}(\mathcal{Q})[\mathrm{HK} 15] \\ \mathrm{PSPACE}-\mathrm{h} .[\mathrm{HK} 15] \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{P}(M) \cdot \mathrm{P}_{p s}(\mathcal{Q})$ (one target) PSPACE-h. [HK15] | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{P}(M) \cdot \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{Q}) \\ \text { PSPACE-h. [HK15] } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\varepsilon$-gap DS | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{P}_{p s}(M, \mathcal{Q}, \varepsilon) \\ \text { NP-h. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{P}_{p s}(M, \varepsilon) \cdot \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{Q}) \\ \text { NP-h. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{P}_{p s}(M, \varepsilon) \cdot \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{Q}) \\ & \text { PSPACE-h. } \end{aligned}$ |

## Four groups of results

1 Reachability. Algorithm based on multi-objective linear programming (LP) in [EKVY08]. We refine the complexity analysis, provide LBs and tractable subclasses.
$\triangleright$ Useful tool for many payoff functions!

## Results overview: sketches

|  | Single-constraint | Single-dim. <br> Multi-constraint | Multi-dim. <br> Multi-constraint |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reachability | P [Put94] | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{M}) \cdot \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{Q})$ [EKVY08], PSPACE-h | - |
| $f \in \mathcal{F}$ | P [CH09] | P | $\mathrm{P}(M) \cdot \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{Q})$ <br> PSPACE-h. |
| $\overline{\mathrm{MP}}$ | P [Put94] | P | P |
| MP | P [Put94] | $\mathrm{P}(M) \cdot \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{Q})$ | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{M}) \cdot \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{Q})$ |
| SP | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{P}(M) \cdot \mathrm{P}_{p s}(\mathcal{Q})[\mathrm{HK} 15] \\ \text { PSPACE-h. [HK15] } \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{P}(M) \cdot \mathrm{P}_{p s}(\mathcal{Q})$ (one target) PSPACE-h. [HK15] | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{P}(M) \cdot \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{Q}) \\ \text { PSPACE-h. [HK15] } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\varepsilon$-gap DS | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{P}_{p s}(M, \mathcal{Q}, \varepsilon) \\ \text { NP-h. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{P}_{p s}(M, \varepsilon) \cdot \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{Q}) \\ \text { NP-h. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{P}_{p s}(M, \varepsilon) \cdot \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{Q}) \\ & \text { PSPACE-h. } \end{aligned}$ |

## Four groups of results

$2 \mathcal{F}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{MP}}$. Easiest cases.
$\triangleright$ inf and sup: reduction to multiple reachability.
$\triangleright \lim \inf$, lim sup and MP: maximal end-component (MEC) decomposition + reduction to multiple reachability.

## Results overview: sketches

|  | Single-constraint | Single-dim. <br> Multi-constraint | Multi-dim. <br> Multi-constraint |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reachability | P [Put94] | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{M}) \cdot \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{Q})$ [EKVY08], PSPACE-h | - |
| $f \in \mathcal{F}$ | P [CH09] | P | $\mathrm{P}(M) \cdot \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{Q})$ <br> PSPACE-h. |
| $\overline{\mathrm{MP}}$ | P [Put94] | P | P |
| MP | P [Put94] | $\mathrm{P}(M) \cdot \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{Q})$ | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{M}) \cdot \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{Q})$ |
| SP | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{P}(M) \cdot \mathrm{P}_{p s}(\mathcal{Q})[\mathrm{HK} 15] \\ \text { PSPACE-h. [HK15] } \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{P}(M) \cdot \mathrm{P}_{p s}(\mathcal{Q})$ (one target) PSPACE-h. [HK15] | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{P}(M) \cdot \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{Q}) \\ \text { PSPACE-h. [HK15] } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\varepsilon$-gap DS | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{P}_{p s}(M, \mathcal{Q}, \varepsilon) \\ \text { NP-h. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{P}_{p s}(M, \varepsilon) \cdot \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{Q}) \\ \text { NP-h. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{P}_{p s}(M, \varepsilon) \cdot \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{Q}) \\ & \text { PSPACE-h. } \end{aligned}$ |

## Four groups of results

3 MP. Technically involved.
$\triangleright$ Inside MECs: (a) strategies satisfying maximal subsets of constraints, (b) combine them linearly.
$\triangleright$ Overall: write an LP combining multiple reachability toward MECs and those linear combinations equations.

## Results overview: sketches

|  | Single-constraint | Single-dim. <br> Multi-constraint | Multi-dim. <br> Multi-constraint |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reachability | P [Put94] | $\mathrm{P}(M) \cdot \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{Q})$ [EKVY08], PSPACE-h | - |
| $f \in \mathcal{F}$ | P [CH09] | P | $\mathrm{P}(M) \cdot \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{Q})$ <br> PSPACE-h. |
| $\overline{\mathrm{MP}}$ | P [Put94] | P | P |
| MP | P [Put94] | $\mathrm{P}(M) \cdot \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{Q})$ | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{M}) \cdot \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{Q})$ |
| SP | $\mathrm{P}(M) \cdot \mathrm{P}_{p s}(\mathcal{Q})[\mathrm{HK} 15]$ PSPACE-h. [HK15] | $\mathrm{P}(M) \cdot \mathrm{P}_{p s}(\mathcal{Q})$ (one target) PSPACE-h. [HK15] | $\mathrm{P}(M) \cdot \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{Q})$ <br> PSPACE-h. [HK15] |
| $\varepsilon$-gap DS | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{P}_{p s}(M, \mathcal{Q}, \varepsilon) \\ \text { NP-h. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{P}_{p s}(M, \varepsilon) \cdot \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{Q}) \\ \text { NP-h. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{P}_{p s}(M, \varepsilon) \cdot \mathrm{E}(\mathcal{Q}) \\ & \text { PSPACE-h. } \end{aligned}$ |

## Four groups of results

4 SP and DS. Based on unfoldings and multiple reachability.
$\triangleright$ Need finite and bounded unfoldings.
$\triangleright$ For SP, we bound the size of the unfolding by node merging.
$\triangleright$ For DS, we can only approximate the answer in general. Need to analyze the cumulative error due to necessary roundings.

